

1 The participants who drank tea (v.s. water) and had the habit of drinking tea performed
2 best in the RAT. A "split half effect" was found. That is, participants' performance in
3 different groups was significantly different in the second half of the RAT, suggesting
4 that drinking tea leads to persistent problem-solving convergent thinking. Experiment
5 2 aimed to replicate the findings in Experiment 1 using different convergent thinking
6 tasks, namely, riddle tasks where participants needed to solve riddles with different
7 levels of difficulty. The results revealed that performance of the tea group on the
8 difficult tasks was significantly higher than that of the water group; after controlling for
9 knowledge level and intelligence, the differences in the performance in the medium
10 and high difficulty riddle tasks between the two groups were significant. Although no
11 experiments found a mediating effect of positive emotion, Experiment 2 showed that
12 the participants in the tea group were happier and more interested in the task than those
13 in the water group. To conclude, the positive effects of tea drinking on convergent
14 thinking were demonstrated, and the moderating effects of knowledge level, intelligence,
15 and tea drinking habit were elaborated. The results have important practical
16 significance for those who are engaged in creative work or those who are prone to
17 fatigue

18

19 Keywords: Tea Drinking; Convergent Thinking; Creativity Performance; Tea
20 Consumption; "Split half effect"

21

22

23

24

1. Introduction

Tea consumption ranks second in the world, only to water consumption (Hodgson & Croft, 2010). Many studies have explored the functional effects of tea. It has been found that drinking tea is good for physical health (Ruxton, Phillips, & Bond, 2015; Shen & Chyu, 2016; Hayat, Iqbal, Malik, Bilal, & Mushtaq, 2015), cognition (Einöther & Martens, 2013; Dietz & Dekker, 2017; Kuriyama et al., 2006), emotion (Einöther & Martens, 2013; Einöther, Rowson, Ramaekers, & Giesbrecht, 2016)

Recently, research on the cognitive impact of tea drinking has focused on creativity. Convergent creativity and divergent creativity involve different cognitive processes. Convergent creativity requires top-down cognitive processing, which focuses on searching for an appropriate idea based on defined criteria, while divergent creativity involves less top-down processing, so people can search for many different ideas with less defined criteria within a wider search space. It has been found that tea improves divergent thinking in creative tasks, e.g., the Remote Association Test (RAT, Huang et al., 2018). However, few empirical studies have investigated the effect of tea drinking on convergent thinking (Einöther et al., 2015). As convergent thinking is an essential human activity (Abakel, Webb, de Montpellier, Von Bentivegna, Luechinger, Ishii, & Mohr, 2020; Shettar & Tewari, 2020), it is worth discovering methods that could improve convergent thinking. The current research will address this issue. We will first review the literature and describe our research proposal.

1.1 Tea and primary cognitive processing

Empirical studies on tea consumption and cognition mainly focus on tea and low level cognitive processes, namely, attention or alertness level. To date, studies have specifically explored the effect of black tea on attention performance (Einöther

1 and Martens, 2013) Hindmarch, Quinlan, Moore, and Parkin (1998) compared the
2 effects of coffee, water, and tea with and without caffeine on the critical flicker fusion
3 (CFF) task (an objective means of measuring subjects' ability to distinguish discrete
4 sensory data) and the line analog rating scale (LARS). In the CFF task, subjects are
5 required to discriminate flicker fusion in a set of four light-emitting diodes held in
6 foveal fixation at 1 m. With LARS, subjective ratings of treatment effects are obtained
7 from a series of 100 mm line analog rating scales where attention is assessed by
8 alertness items. The results showed that caffeinated beverages improved task
9 performance and self-reported alertness, and cognitive performance decreased more
10 slowly over time than performance did with noncaffeinated beverages. Moreover, the
11 study found that tea's attention benefits could not be entirely attributed to caffeine and
12 that other components (e.g., flavonoids, theanine) of tea could also contribute to
13 cognitive benefits. The study showed that subjects who drank caffeinated tea had a
14 significantly greater CFF threshold than those who drank caffeinated water (caffeine
15 concentrations were equal). The study provided preliminary evidence for the beneficial
16 effects of tea on attention-related performance. In the subsequent study, the main
17 effects of 37.5 and 75 mg caffeine in the CFF and LARS tasks, respectively, were
18 successfully replicated (Hindmarch et al., 2000).

19 A recent study (Bruin, Rowson, Buren, Rycroft, & Owen, 2011) used double-
20 placebo-controlled crossover designs and more complex attention tasks to further
21 investigate these effects. Again, accuracy in the attention-switching task was improved
22 after drinking black tea and the participants in the tea group reported higher levels of

1 alertness than those in the placebo group.

2 It can be concluded from the above studies that drinking tea can improve
3 and self-reported alertness. Studies on caffeine and the combination of theanine and
4 caffeine further support these conclusions (Giesbrecht, Rycroft, Rowson, & De Bruin,
5 2010; Kelly, Gomez-Ramirez, Montesi, & Foxe, 2008).

6 1.2 Tea and cognitive thinking in creativity

7 A few studies have investigated the relationship between tea consumption
8 creative thinking as an advanced comprehensive cognitive process. The work of
9 Einöther et al. (2015) and Huang et al. (2018) provided preliminary evidence for the
10 main effect of tea on divergent thinking in creative tasks. In particular, Huang et al.
11 (2018) showed a "split-half effect"; that is, the enhancing effects of tea drinking on task
12 performance did not appear until the second half of the task. It is possible that tea also
13 causes improvements in endurance. As the task goes on, the tea starts to take effect to
14 resist the decrease in performance. This study showed that tea drinking can significantly
15 help maintain and improve performance in the second half of cognitive tasks, a
16 phenomenon called "split-half effect". This means that the enhancing effects of tea on
17 convergent creative performance lies in its ability to maintain tenacity and persistence.
18 This may also be because the more difficult the task is, the more helpful the tea drinking
19 is, which is consistent with previous research findings (Einöther et al., 2015).

20 Contrary to divergent thinking, convergent thinking focuses on producing a single,
21 comprehensive answer to a question, which usually means the process of providing a
22 "correct" answer to a standard question (Cropley, 2006). Convergent thinking is a
23 necessary part of the creative process and is inextricably linked to divergent thinking.
24 Given that tea drinking is beneficial to divergent thinking in creativity and convergent
25 thinking is linked to divergent thinking, we hypothesized that tea may also promote

1 convergent thinking, as assumed by Einöther et al. (2015). Einöther et al. (2015)
2 claimed that tea consumption will improve creative problem solving due to increased
3 positive affect compared to a neutral control and to a similar extent as a positive control.
4 Unfortunately, Einöther et al. (2015) did not find significant evidence to support their
5 assumption.

6 We argue that there are several reasons why no empirical evidence has been found.

7 First, there are problems in the method of measuring creativity. Previous studies have
8 used the classic RAT to measure creativity. This subjective test is very likely to be
9 influenced by individuals' linguistic ability and vocabulary, which were not controlled
10 for in the former studies. In addition, convergent thinking performance is closely related
11 to the level of participants' knowledge and intelligence, which was not considered and
12 controlled for in previous studies. In the current research, we will examine the effect of
13 tea drinking on convergent thinking by addressing all the above methodological issues.

14 Second, the tea drinking scenes and the individuals' tea drinking habits were very
15 different, which was not controlled for in previous studies. Huang et al. (2018)
16 controlled for the influence of individual tea drinking habits and tea making scenario
17 regarding the volume, concentration, and temperature of the tea by preparing the tea in
18 the laboratory in advance, such that they found the effect of tea on divergent thinking.

19 Therefore, we also used the same method to exclude the influence of those factors.

20 Based on the above discussion, we propose the following hypotheses

21 H1: Drinking tea improves performance in convergent thinking activities.

22 We will test our research hypothesis in two experiments. In particular, we will

1 control for the possible marginal conditions of language ability, intelligence, and tea
2 preference and habit. Experiment 1 will test the main effect using the RAT to measure
3 convergent thinking. Experiment 2 will try to replicate the findings in Experiment 1
4 using another type of convergent thinking task, namely, multiple-choice tasks. In both
5 experiments, we will measure possible previously mentioned marginal conditions
6 control for their impacts on convergent thinking performance. In particular, we mainly
7 focused on the acute effect of tea on creativity, and creativity was measured 120
8 minutes after tea drinking. In other words, we are mainly interested in the effects
9 psychological function related to creativity that may happen in a very short period of
10 time after drinking.

11 Moreover, we will test the mediating role of emotion in this relationship. In
12 previous studies have found that tea drinking promotes positive emotion and mood,
13 which may benefit cognitive thinking (Isen, Labroo, & Durlach, 2004; Desmet &
14 Schifferstein, 2008; Yoto, Motoki, Murao, & Yokogoshi, 2012). Meta-analyses of mood
15 and creativity research have shown that a positive mood leads to higher creativity than
16 a neutral mood (Baas, De Dreu, & Nijstad, 2008; Davis, 2009). De Dreu, Baas, and
17 Nijstad (2008) explained the relationship between mood and creativity through a dual
18 pathway model. Creativity can be achieved through either cognitive flexibility or
19 cognitive perseverance, both of which are mediated by mood. Fisher, Ashkanasy, and
20 Rowe (2012) showed that activating a negative mood had a significant lagged effect on
21 creative process engagement (CPE) whereas activating a positive mood did not and
22 that activating a positive mood had the strongest association with CPE when both
23 provided goal orientation and supervisory support were high. Therefore, we will also test

1 another hypothesis:

2 H2: Positive emotions mediate the effect of drinking tea on convergent thinking.

3

4 2. Experiment 1

5 2.1 Method

6 2.1.1 Participants

7 With reference to previous classic studies (Eisner et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2018),
8 the sample sizes of the two studies in this paper were set at 40 and 60 of 40 full-
9 time students were recruited through the Internet and WeChat. In Experiment 1 In the
10 tea group, male subjects accounted for 33.3%, and in the water group, male subjects
11 accounted for 36.8%. Each participant received \$6 as a reward for participating in the
12 experiment.

13 2.1.2 Design

14 This experiment included two parallel drink conditions: a cup of black tea (Lipton,
15 a well-known brand but anonymous to participants) and a cup of water, both of which
16 were approximately 260 ml and were served at a drinkable temperature of 42°C. Black
17 tea was prepared in advance using a standard process: one tea bag in 150 ml water.
18 Tea bags were steeped in boiling water for five minutes. The tea and the water for
19 the control condition were kept at a temperature of 42°C in an electronic kettle. In this
20 way, we controlled for confounding variables that existed in previous experiments, such
21 as the brand name of the tea, the experience of preparing the tea, the tea's concentration
22 and temperature, and the utensils for drinking the tea. Under both conditions, the
23 drinking amount (ml) of the participants was recorded. We adopted the implicit priming
24 experimental paradigm such that participants were unaware of the independent variable
25 manipulation (Hong, Morris, Chiu, & Benet-Martinez, 2000). Tea consumption was

1 manipulated implicitly by serving tea or water during the greeting stage of the
2 experiment, so the participants did not realize that drinking was the crucial part of our
3 study. The participants were randomly assigned to one of two conditions. In that sense,
4 participants are blinded to their condition.

5 2.1.3 Procedure

6 In the warming up stage, the participant arrived at room A as scheduled to wait for
7 the start of the experiment. A receptionist (experimenter A) poured a cup of pre
8 prepared hot drink (water/tea) in front of the participant. The cups provided to the
9 participants were disposable, which were picked out from a new package in front of
10 every participant. The purpose of this manipulation was to ensure that participants
11 wouldn't refuse the drink for hygienic reasons. To avoid the color, trademark and other
12 factors of the cup affecting participants, the cup was pure white without any pattern or
13 trademark.

14 Then, the receptionist returned to the seat and asked participants personal
15 information, such as the department, major, grade, student number, and mobile
16 phone number not only for the payment purpose but also for extending the duration of
17 warming up stage. To let the participants drink as much as possible, the receptionist
18 also poured herself a cup of the same drink. The warming up stage lasted for three to
19 five minutes so that the participant had enough time to finish the drink. Then the
20 receptionist led the participant to room B to perform the experimental task. After the
21 participant entered room B, the receptionist measured how many milliliters the
22 participant drank with a measuring tube and recorded it.

23 In room B, the experiment was conducted by experimenter B who didn't know
24 which drink the participant drank. The participant was asked to complete the tasks
25 computer. The participant completed the Mood Inventory scale, RAT, Raven Advanced

1 Progressive Matrices Test, Mood Inventory scale, tea consumption and attitudes
2 scale, and demographic statistics questionnaire (including Chinese and math scores on
3 the college entrance examination) order Experimenter B checked whether the tasks
4 were successfully submitted and then directed the participant to go to room A to ask the
5 receptionist for the payment. The sessions lasted 35 minutes in total.

6 2.1.4 Measurements

7 *Emotional state:* The mood inventory (MI) scale was used to measure the
8 participants' emotional state during the experiment. This scale was taken from Phillips,
9 Bull, Adams, and Fraser (2002) and Oaksford, Morris, Grainger, and Williams (1996)
10 and used to measure the participants' mood after the beverage and after they
11 completed the convergent thinking task. In this study, the English version of
Chermahini and Hommel (2012) was used (nds Tw 2.TJ 0.0000 Tct(in)-8 (g)n,) 02-8 W 2.53 (e)

1 thinking is related to knowledge and intelligence (Lee & Therriault, 2013; Ritter,
2 Abbing & Van Schie, 2018), so these factors needed to be controlled. Xiao, Yao, and
3 Qiu's (2016) Chinese version of the PAT also found that the participants' PAT scores
4 were moderately correlated with their intelligence (Raven's test) and significantly
5 correlated with their Chinese and math scores. Therefore, in this study, the Chinese and
6 mathematics scores of the participants on the national standardized college entrance
7 examination were selected as one index of the level of knowledge and intelligence of
8 the participants, and the scores of the participants in the Raven's Advanced Progressive
9 Matrices (APM) were selected as another index. In consideration of the total duration,
10 only half of the questions of the APM were used in this study (18 numbered items
11 were selected). Since the Spearman-Brown split-half reliability of the parity score on
12 the APM in Barrow's (1990) study was 0.82, we believed that it was reasonable to select
13 half of the questions. The participants were given 10 minutes to complete the questions.

14 The participants were also asked to report their past Chinese and math scores on
15 the national standardized college entrance examination. The two questions were
16 follows: "What is your past Chinese score in the college entrance examination?" and
17 "What is your past math score in the college entrance examination?"

18 ***Tea consumption habits and attitudes scale:*** Due to the influence of tea drinking
19 behavior on participants' physical health and psychological aspects, the study
20 conducted by Einöther et al. (2015) only recruited participants who were habitual tea
21 drinkers (those who drank more than 5 cups of tea a week). They believed that positive
emotions would be generated when

1 participants could show the same stimulatory effect as when consuming caffeine,
2 suggesting that participants' beliefs about drinks also affect the effect of drinks
3 on them. Thus, we also measured one's attitude towards the role of tea because if people
4 believe that drinking tea makes them happier, calmer and more alert, it may also affect
5 whether it actually works for them. We asked three questions that were rated on a 9
6 point Likert scale (1 = "totally disagree", 9 = "totally agree"): "Do you believe in tea
7 as a pick-me-up?" "Do you believe that drinking tea makes people happier?", "Do you
8 think tea makes you calmer?"

9 2.2 Results

10 2.2.1 Statistical analysis

11 We used SPSS 22.0 to analyze our data. ANCOVA was used to determine the
12 effect of tea on performance in the RAT and the moderating effects of beverage type
13 and tea drinking habit.

14 2.2.2 Main effect

15 Descriptive statistics showed that RAT scores were significantly correlated with
16 gender, and the scores of males were lower than those of females, which were also
17 significantly correlated with age and educational background (see Table 1).

18 [insert Table 1 about here]

19 Using ANCOVA controlled for gender, APM scores, education, Chinese and math
20 scores on the college entrance examination, age, and drink volume, we found that,
21 consistent with our hypothesis, participants in the tea group had significantly higher
22 RAT scores ($M = 13.89$, $SD = 6.00$) than those in the water group ($M = 12.94$, $SD =$
23 6.00) [$F(1, 34) = 5.09$, $p = 0.035$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.195$, observed power 0.576].

24 2.2.3 Moderating effects

25 Further analysis showed that there was a marginally significant interaction between

1 the type of drink (drinking water/drinking tea) and whether or not participants
2 usually drank tea [$F(1, 34) = 4.23, p = 0.052, \eta^2_p = 0.168, \text{observed power} = 0.501$]
3 Specifically, in the tea group, 14 participants who drank tea at ordinary times had the
4 highest convergent thinking creativity score ($M = 23.20, SD = 3.01$), which was much
5 higher than those who did not usually drink tea ($M = 13.11, SD = 1.52$). The difference
6 between the tea group ($M = 11.91, SD = 3.24$) and the water group ($M = 10.84, SD =$
7 1.84) was relatively small for participants who did not usually drink tea (see Figure 1)

8 [insert Figure 1 about here]

9 The main effect of participants' Raven test scores and RAT scores was significant
10 [$F(1, 34) = 6.24, p = 0.021, \eta^2_p = 0.229, \text{observed power} = 0.664$]. The Pearson
11 correlation coefficient between the participants' Raven test scores and RAT scores was
12 $0.300 (p = 0.060)$. This is similar to the results of Xiao, Yao, and Qi (2016) research
13 showing that scores on the Chinese version of the RAT were correlated with the
14 intelligence levels of the participants.

15 After controlling for gender, drink volume, attitude towards tea, age, and
16 educational background, MANOVA revealed no significant differences between
17 emotional states reported by the participants in the tea group and in the water group,
18 both immediately after drinking tea and after completing the RAT and Raven tasks.

19 Finally, similar to previous studies (Huang et al., 2018), this study found a "split
20 half effect". The scores on the first half (15 questions) and the second half of the RAT
21 were separately scored and then added to the MANOVA model. After controlling for
22 the same variables, we found no significant differences [$F(1, 34) = 3.30, p = 0.084, \eta^2_p$
23 $= 0.136, \text{observed power} = 0.410$] in the RAT scores from the first half between the tea
24 group and the water group. However, with the RAT scores from the second half, the
25 scores of participants in the tea group ($M = 8.00, SD = 2.83$) were significantly higher

1 than those in the water group ($M = 7.35$, $SD = 2.85$) [$F(1, 34) = 5.90$, $p = 0.024$, $\eta^2_p =$
2 0.219 , observed power = 0.639], suggesting that tea leads to persistent problem-solving
3 convergent thinking. We call this phenomenon the "split half effect".

4 2.3 Discussion

5 The results provide preliminary support for our hypothesis that drinking tea can
6 enhance performance in convergent thinking tasks, and the effect was stronger for
7 people who usually drink tea. This study first demonstrated the role of tea in enhancing
8 convergent thinking. It is worth noting that in both cases, the participants did not drink
9 much tea. Moreover, the participants did not spend much time on the task. That is, even
10 if you drink a limited amount of tea (89.57 ml on average, which is not significantly
11 different from 89.74 ml of water in the water group), tea may still enhance performance
12 in convergent thinking tasks. This result indicated that the tea drinking event itself
13 (rather than the biological components of tea) played a role in enhancing performance. This conjecture
14 needs to be further evaluated.

15 However, the results did not show that mood was the mechanism for explaining
16 how drinking tea significantly improved convergent thinking. There are several
17 possible explanations. First, our experiment did not include the tea preparation process
18 that affects emotion (Dohle, Rail, & Siegrist, 2014). Second, unlike previous studies
19 (Einöther et al., 2015, 2016), we did not purposely recruit tea drinkers as participants.
20 We were interested in a more generalized effect of tea consumption on convergent
21 thinking for common people. However, tea was not liked by everyone. Only a few of
22 our participants had tea drinking habits. The four most frequently consumed beverages
23 reported by participants were water, juice, carbonated beverages, and milk tea, all of
24 which were sweet drinks except for water. It has been shown that emotion is related to
25 food and beverage consumption, especially to their sensory properties, e.g., sweet taste

1 is related to happiness and surprise, while bitter taste is related to anger and disgust
2 (Rousmans, Robin, Dittmar, & Vermeir, 2000). Hence, participants who did not
3 have tea drinking habits and were accustomed to sweet drinks might experience
4 negative emotions caused by a bitter taste and unfamiliar beverage, which may
5 any positive emotions elicited by tea.

6 We attempted to determine the psychological mechanisms that mediated the
7 performance differences shown by the two groups of participants with such a short
8 period of time. Therefore, in Experiment 2, we explored other possible mediating
9 mechanisms. In addition, we wanted to confirm whether the result regarding the effects
10 of tea on convergent thinking task performance in Experiment 1 using the RAT to
11 measure convergent thinking creativity could be replicated through other types of
12 creative tasks. Hence, in Experiment 2, we used riddle tasks to measure convergent
13 thinking. With this design, we tested whether the influence of tea on different
14 convergent thinking tasks could be universal.

15 3. Experiment 2

16 The research intends to systematically replicate the research in Experiment 1 and
17 determine whether tea can promote performance in other types of convergent thinking
18 tasks. Therefore, we replaced the RAT with riddle tasks in this experiment.

19 Additionally, we measured participants' motivation and involvement to control for
20 the impact of these factors on convergent thinking performance.

21 3.1 Method

22 3.1.1 Participants

23 A total of 60 (19 males) participants were recruited through the Internet and
24 WeChat. After controlling for the intelligence level of the participants, 59 valid data
25 points were obtained. The participants were full-time undergraduate or graduate

1 students at Peking University, with an average age of 21.62 (2.47). Each
2 participant received \$6 as a reward for participating in the experiment.

3 3.1.2 Design

4 This experiment included two drink conditions (a cup of black tea (the brand was
5 Lipton, but the participant was unaware of the brand) and a cup of water, both of which
6 were approximately 260 ml and the temperature was 42°C). Under both conditions, the
7 amount drunk (ml) by the participants was recorded. The participants were randomly
8 assigned to one of two conditions.

9 3.1.3 Procedure

10 The reception process and precautions were the same as in Experiment 1. Then, the
11 participants entered another designated room and were guided by another experimenter
12 to complete the experimental task on a computer. The participants completed the
13 emotional mood inventory (MI) scale, riddle task 1, motivation and involvement scale
14 1, riddle task 2, motivation and involvement scale 2, Raven Advanced Progressive
15 Matrices Test, motivation and involvement scale 2, and inventory (MI) scale, tea
16 consumption habits and attitude scale, and the final demographic questionnaire
17 (including Chinese and math scores in the college entrance examination session
18 lasted 40 minutes in total).

19 3.1.4 Measurements

20 The measurements of demographic variables, knowledge level, and intelligence
21 level of the participants were consistent with the materials used in Experiment 1. The
22 remaining materials were as follows:

23 **Chinese riddle tasks:** There are two riddle tasks used in this study, taken from Chen
24 Li's (2008) research. Riddle task 1 consisted of 10 pairs of medium difficulty riddles
25 (average prototype heuristic rate was 0.58). Riddle task 2 consisted of 10 pairs of high

- 1 difficulty riddles (average prototype heuristic rate was 0.14). In this experiment, the
- 2 participants learned the prototype riddles first. The participants were presented with

1 The results of the operation test showed that there were no significant differences
2 between the impressions of the experimenters reported by the tea group participants
3 and the water group participants. The descriptive statistics of the main variables are shown
4 in Table 2.

5 3.2.2 Main effect

6 The participants' scores on the two riddle tasks were surrogates to obtain a total score,
7 which represented the convergent thinking score (see Figure 2). We found that after
8 controlling for the participants' Chinese scores, math scores, intelligence levels, and
9 drinking habits, the scores of the tea group participants on the riddle task ($M = 9.94$,
10 $SD = 2.86$) were significantly higher than those of the water group ($M = 9.00$, $SD =$
11 3.01) [$F(1, 58) = 6.27, p = 0.015, \eta_p^2 = 0.106$, observed power = 0.691].

12 [Insert Figure 2 about here]

13 The results (see Table 2) showed that there was a significant positive correlation
14 between the first and second riddle tasks. The Raven scores were significantly
15 positively related to the scores of the two riddle tasks. The type of the drinks influenced
16 the performance of the second part of the riddle task. Participants who drank tea had
17 higher scores in the second riddle task than those who drank water. Their math scores on
18 the college entrance examination were significantly positively related to the Raven
19 scores and the Chinese scores on the college entrance examination.

20 MANOVA showed that participants in the tea group had significantly higher
21 scores ($M = 3.41, SD = 1.52$) in the second riddle task than those in the water group
22 [$F(1, 58) = 5.29, p = 0.025, \eta_p^2 = 0.091$, observed power = 0.617]. The difference
23 between the scores for the tea group participants ($M = 7.53, SD = 2.01$) and the water
24 group participants ($M = 6.59, SD = 2.31$) on the medium difficulty riddle task was not
25 significant [$F(1, 58) = 2.95, p = 0.092, \eta_p^2 = 0.053$, observed power = 0.392].

[InsertTable 2 about here]

3.2.3 Moderating effects

Furthermore, the influence of participants' level of interest and involvement in the task was analyzed through ANCOVA. After controlling for factors such as gender, age, education, milliliters consumed, Raven test scores, Chinese scores, and math scores, it was found that there were no significant differences in the participants' motivation and involvement in riddle tasks $F[(1, 58) = 0.104, p = 0.748]$ and $F[(1, 58) = 0.003, p = 0.956]$ between the two groups.

Similar to Experiment 1, we did not find a main effect of drinks on emotion either before or after cognitive tasks.

3.3 Discussion

Experiment 2 replicated the results of Experiment 1, suggesting that drinking tea can significantly contribute to convergent thinking. We observed the same effect of drinking tea in two different convergent thinking tasks, including the RAT and riddle task, providing substantial evidence of the consistent positive effect of drinking tea on convergent thinking, especially convergent thinking in semantics.

The results of the research by Einöther et al. (2015) showed that the response time in the tea group was faster than that in the water group (marginally significant), while there were no significant differences in the simple and difficult RAT scores between the two groups. Our research showed that the participants' level of knowledge and intelligence must be controlled for in convergent thinking tasks and the difficulty level of convergent thinking tasks must also be considered. The results of Experiment 2 showed that the performance in the tea group in the high difficulty riddle task was significantly higher than that in the water group, while in the medium difficulty task, there was only a marginal significant difference between the two groups. This may

1 have been due to ceiling effect, which means that both groups could well because
2 the task was relatively easy, and any differences are not likely to be significant. This
3 means that the role of tea drinking was mainly reflected in improved performance on
4 the high difficulty creative tasks. Our research shows that participants' intelligence
5 level and task difficulty should be taken into more consideration in the design of
6 experiments.

7 4. General Discussion

8 The purpose of our study was to test whether tea drinking improved convergent
9 thinking and whether emotions mediate this effect. Experiments with two different
10 tasks produced similar findings. Experiment 1 showed that drinking tea resulted in
11 better performance on the RAT than drinking water. Experiment 2 repeated the results
12 of Experiment 1 with a different convergent thinking task (solving riddles) and showed
13 that those who drank tea performed better than those who drank water on difficult riddle
14 tasks. Experiment 2 also found that participants' knowledge level, intelligence level,
15 and task difficulty had moderating effects on the impact of tea drinking on convergent
16 thinking task performance

17 Our study was the first to demonstrate a main effect of tea drinking on convergent
18 thinking, which is consistent with Einöther et al.'s (2016) hypothesis. Although the
19 study by Einöther et al. (2016) did not find a significant effect, the direction of their
20 results was consistent with the hypothesis. Our results may have been due to various
21 reasons, such as consideration of the moderating effects of intelligence level and
22 knowledge level and controlling for variables such as beverage temperature and
23 concentration. Our study used more rigorous experimental methods and procedures to
24 demonstrate that tea enhanced convergent thinking, which could be a summary of
25 previous research and guidance for future research.

1 In particular there are some interesting and valuable findings in our study. First,
2 we revealed the "split half effect"; that is, tea drinking can significantly help maintain
3 and improve performance in the second half of cognitive tasks. This means that the
4 enhancing effects of tea on convergent creative performance lies in its ability to
5 maintain tenacity and persistence, which implies that drinking tea is beneficial for those
6 who are engaged in creative work or easy to fatigue

7 Second, the role of tea drinking was mainly reflected in improved performance in
8 the high difficulty creative tasks. The performance in the tea group in the high difficulty
9 riddle task was significantly better than that in the water group, while in the medium
10 difficulty tasks, there was only a marginally significant difference between the two
11 groups. Our finding explains that an important function of tea is to improve
12 performance in high level creative tasks (Canli, Omura, Haas, Fallgatter, Constable, &
13 Lesch, 2005; Canli, Qiu, Omura, Congdon, Haas, Amin, & Lesch, 2006) require
14 high cognitive load.

15 Third, it was also found that whether a participant had habit of drinking tea
16 moderated the main effect of tea drinking on convergent thinking, which was consistent
17 with the hypotheses of Einöther et al. (2015) and Einöther et al. (2016). This suggested
18 that people who like drinking tea would show improved positive moods preparing
19 tea or drinking tea. This result has some implications for us. The habit of drinking tea,
20 if developed on a regular basis, is beneficial in the short term for everyday creative
21 activities in our daily lives. In the long run, if you are a habitual tea drinker, the next
22 time you need to enhance your creative performance, the beneficial effect of drinking
23 tea will be much higher than those who don't drink tea regularly.

24 4.1 Limitations and implications for future research

25 There are still some limitations in our research. First, we did not measure the

1 biological composition of tea. The results of Einöther and Martens (2013) showed
2 that two biological components, caffeine and theanine, are beneficial to attention, and
3 attention is an essential part of cognitive function. A cup of 250 (ml) typically
4 contains 351 mg (average 48 mg) of caffeine and 4.52.5 mg (average 3.5mg) of
5 theanine. In most previous experiments examining tea's effect on cognitive
6 performance, the tea contained more than 50 mg of caffeine or 10 mg theanine (Bryan,
7 2008). In the present experiment, our participants absorbed relatively small amounts of
8 tea ingredients (most of our participants drank approximately 180 ml of tea, which may
9 contain less than the amount of caffeine and theanine consumed in a typical day.)

10 Second, there are limitations in our samples. On the one hand, the sample sizes in
11 our studies were relatively small. The sample size was determined by referring to the
12 classical research paradigm in this field. With reference to previous classic studies
13 (Einöther et al., 2015, 2016; Huang et al., 2018), the sample sizes of the two studies in
14 this paper were set at 40 and 60. Further study could enlarge the sample size to replicate
15 the result. On the other hand, knowledge level and intelligence level were important
16 control variables in our research, but our participants' knowledge level and intelligence
17 level were high. Most participants were undergraduate students at Peking University, a
18 leading university in China, and their intelligence level and knowledge level far exceed
19 the average level. It is worth considering whether our experimental results can be
20 replicated if our participants had more diverse levels of intelligence and knowledge.
21 However, we assume that the effect may be more significant for people with common
22 levels of knowledge and intelligence because knowledgeable people may not need to
23 drink much tea to solve difficult intellectual tasks.

24 Third, time between tea intake and testing is short. But after tea intake, participants
25 had to answer some questions about their information, such as the department, major,

1 grade, student number, and mobile number while drinking tea, which took 15 minutes.
2 Then, the receptionist would lead the participant to room B where the Experimenter
3 directed the participant to perform the experimental task in room B the participant
4 would complete MI scale first and then, complete the testing of dependent variable. All
5 of the activities will cost 1-20 minutes which is enough for tea to take effect (Ennother
6 et al., 2015)

7 In short, drinking tea can enhance performance in creative thinking tasks. Future
8 research should focus on specific mechanisms and clarify which variables moderate the
9 impact of tea consumption on convergent thinking. There are several important research
10 directions for the future.

11 First, the expansion of ecological validity needs to extend laboratory experiments
12 to actual tea drinking environments as well as to different cultural environments.

13 The second is the exploration of mediating mechanisms. Previous research has
14 found that people tend to associate tea drinking with a specific set of personality traits,
15 such as smart, creative, elegant, confident, and stable (Lara et al., 2011). It may be that
16 when participants are stimulated by tea, the mental representation of a tea drinker is
17 also activated, and they unconsciously think that they should be smarter and more
18 creative. Another factor worth considering is the level of attention. Previous studies
19 have shown that caffeine and theanine in tea can improve attention (e.g., Hindmarch et
20 al., 2000) and performance in attention tasks and attention plays a very important role
21 in other advanced cognitive processes, especially the creative process (Förstner et al.,
22 2015; Huang et al., 2018).

23 The third is to explore at what stage of creativity tea takes effect. The American
24 psychologist Runco (2004) suggested that the creative process includes six basic stages.
25 We approximated that the effect of tea on creativity is most likely to occur in the

1 inspiration stage when creative ideas transferred from the preconscious into
2 conscious awareness (Kounios & Beeman, 2009). This is a time when ideas explode
3 and require rich attentional resources (Burton, 1999). In the future, we can explore the
4 differential impacts of tea consumption at each stage.

5 Finally, we can explore the long-term and short-term effects. At present, research
6 on tea and creativity has mainly focused on the immediate effects of tea. Engagement
7 with these creativity tasks begins after a few minutes of drinking tea (Fother et al.,
8 2015; Huang et al., 2018). At this time, the pharmacological effects of the tea chemicals
9 have not started to fully work, which shows that it is the psychological effect of tea
10 that is active. Over long periods, will long-term tea drinking also improve performance
11 in creative activities? This notion needs further verification.

12

13

14 Ethic Statement

15 The research was conducted in compliance with all APA Ethical Guidelines for the
16 treatment of human participants. Neither the manuscript nor the data have been
17 published previously, nor are they under consideration for publication elsewhere, and
18 its publication is approved by all authors.

19

20 Acknowledgment

21 Declarations of interest: none.

22 Funding:

23 Acknowledgment: This work was supported in part by NSFC Grant #31971013,
24 Beijing Well-being Foundation Grant #0020344, and Taetea Group to L.W

1

2 Author Contributions

3 L.W. conceived the main research idea. L.W. and Y.H. made the research design. Y.H.
4 ran the experiments. Y.H., J.Y., and L.W. performed the statistics and L.W. were
5 responsible for making the first English draft of the manuscript. All authors were
6 involved in the manuscript preparation.

7

8 References

- 9 Abu-Akel, A., Webb, M. E., de Montpellier, E., Von Bentivegni, S., Luechinger, L.,
10 Ishii, A., & Mohr, C. (2020). Autistic and positive schizotypal traits respectively
11 predict better convergent and divergent thinking performance. *Thinking Skills
12 and Creativity* 36, 100656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2020.100656>
- 13 Baas, M., De Dreu, C. K. W., & Nijstad, B. A. (2008). A meta-analysis of 25 years of
14 mood-creativity research: Hedonic tone, activation, or regulatory focus?
15 *Psychological Bulletin*, 134(6), 778-806. doi:10.1037/a0012815
- 16 Barrow, R. (1990). Achieving extraordinary ends - an essay on creativity
17 *Interchange*, 21(4), 81-82. doi:10.1007/bf01810096
- 18 Bryan, J. (2008). Psychological effects of dietary components of tea: Caffeine and L
19 theanine. *Nutrition Reviews*, 66(2), 82-90. doi:10.1111/j.1753-
20 4887.2007.00011.x
- 21 Burton, L. (1999). Why is intuition so important to mathematicians but missing from
22 mathematics education? *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 19(3), 32-41. doi:
23 www.jstor.org/stable/40248307
- 24 Canli, T., Omura, K., Haas, B. W., Fallgatter, A., Constable, R. T., & Lesch, K. P.

1 (2005). Beyond affect: Role for genetic variation of the serotonin transporter in
2 neural activation during a cognitive attention task. *Proceedings of the National*
3 *Academy of Sciences*, 102(24), 12224-12229. doi:10.1073/pnas.0503880102

4 Canli, T., Qiu, M., Omura, K., Congdon, E., Haas, B. W., Amin, Z., . . . Lesch, K. P.
5 (2006). Neural correlates of epigenesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
6 *Sciences*, 103(23), 16033-16038. doi:10.1073/pnas.0601674103

7 Chermahini, S. A., & Hommel, B. (2012). Creative mood swings: Divergent and
8 convergent thinking affect mood in opposite ways. *Psychological Research*
9 *Psychologische Forschung*, 76(5), 634-640. doi:10.1007/s00426-011-0358-z

10 Cook, C., Beaven, C. M., Kilduff, L. P., & Drawer, S. (2012). Acute caffeine
11 ingestion's increase of voluntarily chosen resistance training load after limited
12 sleep. *International Journal of Sport Nutrition and Exercise Metabolism*, 22(3),
13 157-164. doi:10.1123/ijsnem.22.3.157

14 Davis, M. A. (2009). Understanding the relationship between mood and creativity: A
15 metaanalysis. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 108(1),
16 25-38. doi:10.1016/j.obhdp.2008.04.001

17 De Bruin, E. A., Rowsy, M. J., Van Buren, L., Rycroft, J. A., & Owen, G. N. (2011).
18 Black tea improves attention and self-reported alertness. *Appetite*, 56(2), 235-
19 240. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2010.12.011

20 De Dreu, C. K. W., Baas, M., & Nijstad, B. A. (2008). Hedonic tone and activation
21 level in the mood-creativity link: Toward a dual pathway to creativity model.
22 *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(5), 739-756.
23 doi:10.1037/0022-3514.94.5.739

24 Desmet, P. M. A., & Schifferstein, H. N. J. (2008). Sources of positive and negative
25 emotions in food experience. *Appetite*, 50(2-3), 290-301.

- 1 doi:10.1016/j.appet.2007.08.003
- 2 De Dreu, C. K., Baas, M., & Nijstad, B. A. (2008). Hedonic tone and activation level
3 in the mood–creativity link: Toward a dual pathway to creativity model. *Journal*
4 of Personality and Social Psychology, 94(5), 739-756. doi:10.1037/0022-
5 3514.94.5.739
- 6 Dietz, C., & Dekker, M. (2017). Effect of green tea phytochemicals on mood and
7 cognition. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 23(19), 2876-2905.
8 doi:10.2174/1381612823666170105151800
- 9 Dohle, S., Rail, S., & Siegrist, M. (2014). I cooked it myself: Preparing food increases
10 liking and consumption. *Food Quality and Preference*, 33(1), 14-
11 doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2013.11.001
- 12 Einöther, S. J., & Martens, V. E. (2013). Acute effects of tea consumption on attention
13 and mood. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 98(6), 1700S-1708S.
14 doi:10.3945/ajcn.113.058248
- 15 Einöther, S. J. L., Baas, M., Rowson, M., & Giesbrecht, T. (2015). Investigating the
16 effects of tea, water and a positive affect induction on mood and creativity. *Food*
17 Quality and Preference, 39, 561. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.06.016
- 18 Einöther, S. J. L., Rowson, M., Ramaekers, J. G., & Giesbrecht, T. (2016). Enjoying
19 pleasure: Mood effects of the consumption of a single cup of tea. *Appetite*, 103,
20 302-308. doi:10.1016/j.appet.2016.04.003
- 21 Giesbrecht, T., Rycroft, J. A., Rowson, M. J., & De Bruin, E. A. (2010). The
22 combination of L-theanine and caffeine improves cognitive performance and
23 increases subjective alertness. *Nutritional Neuroscience*, 13(6), 282-290.
24 doi:10.1179/147683010X12611460764840
- 25 Hindmarch, I., Quinlan, P., Moore, K., & Parkin, C. (1998). The effects of black tea

1 and other beverages on aspects of cognition and psychomotor performance.
2 Psychopharmacology, 139(3), 2208. doi:10.1007/s002130050709

3 Hindmarch, I., Rigney, U., Stanley, N., Quinlan, P., Rycroft, J., & Lane, J. (2000). A
4 naturalistic investigation of the effects of long consumption of tea, coffee
5 and water on alertness, sleep onset and sleep quality. *Psychopharmacology*,
6 149(3), 203-216. doi:10.1007/s002130000383

7 Hodgson, J. M., & Croft, K. D. (2010). Tea flavonoids and cardiovascular health.
8 *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, 31(6), 495-502. doi:10.1016/j.mam.2010.09.004

9 Hong, Y.y., Morris, M. W., Chiu, C.y., & Benet-Martinez, V. (2000). Multicultural
10 minds: A dynamic constructivist approach to culture and cognition. *American*
11 *Psychologist*, 55(7), 709-720. doi:10.1037/000066X.55.7.709

12 Huang, Y., Choe, Y., Lee, S., Wang, E., Wu, Y., & Wang, L. (2018). Drinking tea
13 improves the performance of divergent creativity. *Food Quality and Preference*,
14 66, 2935. doi:10.1016/j.foodqual.2017.12.014

15 Isen, A. M., Labroo, A. A., & Durlach, P. (2004). An influence of product and brand
16 name on positive affect: Implicit and explicit measures. *Motivation and Emotion*,
17 28(1), 43-63. doi:10.1023/B:MOEM.0000027277.98917.9a

18 Kelly, S. P., Gomez-Ramirez, M., Montesi, J. L., & Foxe, J. J. (2008). L-theanine and
19 caffeine in combination affect human cognition as evidenced by oscillatory
20 alpha band activity and attention task performance. *The Journal of Neuroscience*,
21 28(8), 15725-15775.

22 Kounios, J., & Beeman, M. (2009). The Aha! Moment The Cognitive Neuroscience of
23 Insight. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 18(4), 210-216doi:
24 10.1111/J.1467-721.2009.01638.X

25 Kuriyama, S., Hozawa, A., Ohmori, K., Shimazu, T., Matsui, T., Ishihara, S., . . . Tsuji, I.

- 1 (2006). Green tea consumption and cognitive function: a cross-sectional study from
2 the Tsurugaya Project. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 83(2), 355-361.
3 doi:10.2307/40064471
- 4 Lee, C. S., & Therriault, D. J. (2013). The cognitive underpinnings of creative
5 thought: A latent variable analysis exploring the roles of intelligence and
6 working memory in three creative thinking processes. *Intelligence (Norwood)*,
7 41(5), 306-320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2013.04.008>
- 8 Li, C., Qing, L, Z., Xia, Y., Ying. Z., Xianghui, L., & Yi, C. (2008). The Emotion
9 Promoting Effect in the Logogriph Activation of Chinese Characters. *Acta*
10 *Psychologica Sinica*, 40(02), 127-135. doi:10.7666/d.y1263408
- 11 Oaksford, M., Morris, F., Grainger, B., & Williams, J. M. G. (1996). Mood, reasoning,
12 and central executive processes. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning,*
13 *Memory, and Cognition*, 22, 476-492. doi:10.1037/0278-7393.22.2.476
- 14 Phillips, L. H., Bull, R., Adams, E., & Fraser, L. (2002). Positive Mood and Executive
15 Function: Evidence From Stroop and Fluency Tasks. *Emotion*, 2(1), 12-22.
16 doi:10.1037/1528-3542.2.1.12
- 17 Ritter, S.M., Abbing J., & Van Schie H.T. (2018). Eye closure enhances creative
18 performance on divergent and convergent creativity tasks. *Frontiers in Psychology*
19 9(13). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01315.1
- 20 Rousmans, S., Robin, O., Dittmar, A., & Vermeir, E. (2000). Autonomic nervous
21 system responses associated with primary taste. *Chemical Senses*, 25, 709-718.
22 doi:10.1093/chemse/25.6.709
- 23 Runco, M. (2004). Creativity. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 657-687.
24 doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141502.
- 25 Ruxton, C., Phillips, F., & Bond, T. (2015). Is tea a healthy source of hydration?
26 *Bulletin*, 40(3), 166-176. doi:10.1111/nbu.12150

- 1 Shen, C.-L., & Chyu, MG. (2016). Tea flavonoids for bone health: from animals to
2 humans *Journal of Investigative Medicine*, ~~64~~, 1151-1157. doi:10.1136/jim
3 2016-000190
- 4 Shettar, A., M, V., & Tewari, P. (2020). Categorizing student as a convergent and
5 divergent thinker in problem solving using learning analytics framework *Procedia
6 Computer Science*, 172, 83-https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.05.001
- 7 To, M. L., Fisher, C. D., Ashkanasy, N. M., & Rowe, P. A. (2012). Within-person
8 relationships between mood and creativity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 97
9 599-612. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0026097
- 10 Yoto, A., Motoki, M., Murao, S., & Yokogoshi, H. (2012). Effects of theanine or
11 caffeine intake on changes in blood pressure under physical and psychological
12 stresses. *Journal of Physiological Anthropology*, 31, 28-36. doi:10.1186/1880-
13 6805-31-28.
- 14

1

Table 1. Descriptive Statistical Results of The Main Variables (Experiment 1)

	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1 Gender	-	-									
2 Education	2.43	.50	-.10								
3 Age	22.93	2.47	-.13	.32*							
4 Tea / water	.48	.51	-.04	-.09	.04						
5 Whether to drink tea	1.65	.48	-.22	-.09	-.07	-.14					
6 Drinking amount(ml)	89.65	53.15	-.26	.16	-.01	.00	-.25				
7 RAT score	12.48	6.32	.34*	-.11	-.02	-.02	-.17	.21			
8 Raven score	12.53	3.80	-.09	-.20	.16	.16	.29	-.18	.30		
9 Chinese score	121.86	8.52	.08	.17	.23	.23	-.02	.17	.27	-.07	
10 Math score	133.34	11.05	-.13	-.02	.10	.05	.11	.23	.11	.25	.07

2

Note N= 40. *p < .05.

3

1

Table 2. Descriptive Statistical Results of The Main Variables (Experiment 2)

	Mean	Var	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1 Gender	-	-										
2 Education	21.82	2.47	-.05									
3 Age	2.33	.51	-.30*	.76**								
4 Tea / water	.47	.50	-.08	.11	.07							
5 Whether to drink tea	1.62	.49	-.24	-.16	-.14	.19						
6 Drinking amount(ml)	76.78	56.80	-.28*	.08	.03	.00	.00					
7 Riddle1 score	7.07	2.19	-.01	-.25	-.21	-.23	-.07	-.21				
8 Riddle2 score	2.95	1.55	.19	-.17	-.11	-.32*	-.20	.03	.32*			
9 Raven score	12.25	2.90	-.13	-.11	-.04	.02	.04	.02	.34**	.27*		
10 Chinese score	120.03	10.08	.20	-.21	-.26	-.13	-.17	.04	.10	.32*	.17	
11 Math score	132.69	14.19	-.19	-.31	-.19	-.01	.05	.04	.22	.17	.44**	.29*

2

Note N= 59. * p < .05. ** p < .01.

3

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Figure 1. Moderating effect of drinking habit on RAT scores (Experiment 1)

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17

Figure 2. Main effect of drinking on the total scores of the twiddle tasks (Experiment 2).

1 Appendix: The description of Chinese riddle task

2 The riddles are based on the fact that Chinese characters are hieroglyphs. For example,

3 in the prototype riddle, the Chinese character " " means "can't remember" and " " "

4 means "blind", respectively. As you can see, the top half of these two characters is the

5 same character " ", which means "lost something". As to the bottom halves, " "

6 means "heart" and " " means "eyes". That is, " " means "lost the heart so you can't

7 remember" while " " means "lost eyes so you can't see". So when the riddle is asking,

8 "you couldn't remember with a heart and couldn't see with eyes, what character is it?"

9 the answer is the character " ("lost something").

10