

Visual perceptual learning modulates decision network in the human brain: The evidence from psychophysics, modeling, and functional magnetic resonance imaging

School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and
Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health,
Peking University, Beijing, China

PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research,
Peking University, Beijing, China

Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education),
Peking University, Beijing, China

Ke Jia

Department of Health Industry Management,
Beijing International Studies University, Beijing, China
School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and
Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health,
Peking University, Beijing, China

PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research,
Peking University, Beijing, China

Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education),
Peking University, Beijing, China

Xin Xue

Department of Brain and Cognitive Engineering,
Korea University, Seoul, Republic of Korea

Jong-Hwan Lee

School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and
Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health,
Peking University, Beijing, China

PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research,
Peking University, Beijing, China

Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education),
Peking University, Beijing, China

Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences,
Peking University, Beijing, China

Fang Fang

Jiaxiang Zhang

School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences and
Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health,
Peking University, Beijing, China

PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research,
Peking University, Beijing, China

Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education),
Peking University, Beijing, China

Sheng Li



Citation: Jia, K., Xue, X., Lee, J.-H., Fang, F., Zhang, J., & Li, S. (2018). Visual perceptual learning modulates decision network in the human brain: The evidence from psychophysics, modeling, and functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Vision*, 18(12):9, 1–19, <https://doi.org/10.1167/18.12.9>.



Perceptual learning refers to improved perceptual performance after intensive training and was initially suggested to reflect long-term plasticity in early visual cortex. Recent behavioral and neurophysiological evidence further suggested that the plasticity in brain regions related to decision making could also contribute to the observed training effects. However, how perceptual learning modulates the responses of decision-related regions in the human brain remains largely unknown. In the present study, we combined psychophysics and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), and adopted a model-based approach to investigate this issue. We trained participants on a motion direction discrimination task and fitted their behavioral data using the linear ballistic accumulator model. The results from model fitting showed that behavioral improvement could be well explained by a specific improvement in sensory information accumulation. A critical model parameter, the drift rate of the information accumulation, was correlated with the fMRI responses derived from three spatial independent components: ventral premotor cortex (PMv), supplementary eye field (SEF), and the frontoparietal network, including intraparietal sulcus (IPS) and frontal eye field (FEF). In this decision network, we found that the behavioral training effects were accompanied by signal enhancement specific to trained direction in PMv and FEF. Further, we also found direction-specific signal reduction in sensory areas (V3A and MT+), as well as the strengthened effective connectivity from V3A to PMv and from IPS to FEF. These findings provide evidence for the learning-induced decision refinement after perceptual learning and the brain regions that are involved in this process.

Introduction

T
 & T , 1994; (, , & C , 2001; , 2010; , 2014; & , 2015).
 (A & , 1997; B & , 1987; , 1997; & , 1996; & B , 1980; & , 1991).
 2008; , 2010; (, 2014; , 2015 ; & , 2015 ; & ,

2016).
 (, , & , 2013; & , 1998; & , 1996; , & , 2005). T
 (A & , 2011; C , , & , 2001; 2010; , , , B , & T , 2012; , 2012; , 2014; , & , 2008; , , & , 2016).
 (, , , & , 2001),
 (. . , , & , 2002). A -
 4 1,
 (T. & , 2004)
 (, , , & , 2006)
 T
 ,
 T , 2013; & , 1998; (, 2005).
 T
 (, T; & , 2008, 2009). , , & , 2011) (, & ,
 T
 (2015) . ,
 . T
 (2018)

Materials and methods

Subjects

Ten subjects (10 males, 12 females; age range: 17–25 years) participated in the experiment. All subjects had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and no history of eye surgery or other eye conditions. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, Berkeley.

Stimuli

The stimuli were grayscale images of faces. The faces were presented in a 40-degree by 60-degree field of view. The resolution of the stimuli was 1,024 × 768 pixels. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 48 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 3.0 degrees. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 75 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 10 degrees. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 400 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 4 degrees.


The faces were presented in a 40-degree by 60-degree field of view. The resolution of the stimuli was 1,024 × 768 pixels. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 48 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 3.0 degrees. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 75 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 10 degrees. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 400 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 4 degrees.

Procedure

The procedure consisted of two parts: 1A and 1B. In part 1A, subjects were presented with a face and asked to identify the gender of the face. In part 1B, subjects were presented with a face and asked to identify the race of the face. The faces were presented at a viewing distance of 500 cm. The faces were presented at a viewing angle of 4 degrees.

A

B



until r

. T
500
1,500
1,000 , . A

. T C C C

T BA

T q , 1 T ^ 1) (

(, 2011). T

â.

b

fMRI data preprocessing

(B) 12 (B

T . . . /) B ()

12 - . T ()

(C).

2()1()-5 T 6T

T . T

3 . T

-5 , -5 (-5,

(-5 (2())-338.9()-337.9(-)-2.6(-)-2.6()0()-6.3()-.2()-337.7()-1.7(-5)6.. -5

(-5 248.3()-4.9()2.5(1)-5.4(2,-)-245.7()-5.4(-)-2.6(-)-245.7(-)-1.7()-2.7()-4.4(-5244.5(-)-2.6()-4.1()-5.4()-1.1

q . T

-5269.2(-)-.5()-2.9()1.3(-)-1.4()-4.2(-)-.5()-270.4(T)-3.7()1.3()-268.9()1.3()-3.9()-.2()-2.9()1(-)-1.4(-)-1.

Single-tri analysis of fMRI data with ICA

-5 -566.. -5 -5 () -

-5 -5 -5 -5 -5

(2008). T -5 -5 -5 -566..

-5 - -5 -5




(-5A, C , A ,

, & , 2001) - T 25.545160.9193T .0083T ()1.6()-3.8()-252.2()-5()1.6()-25

30. T CA

(B & -566.. -5 , 1995; C ,

A , & C , 2007).



4 T
8 T

, $F(1, 21) = 33.78, < 0.001, \eta^2 =$
 $F(1, 21) = 13.87, = 0.001,$

20, $< 0.001,$

A A'

, $F(1,$

5).

= 0.66,

.

Learning effects on drift rate

$F(1, 21) = 15.60, p = 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.426;$
 $F(1, 21) = 48.27, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.697;$
 $F(1, 21) = 25.43, p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.548.$

$F(1, 21) = 27.22, p < 0.001,$
 $F(1, 21) = 0.08, p = 0.78.$

(C. C. & , 2012; (, 2011).
 (, 2012) (-) - (-)
) - (-)
)
 b, (b - a/2).

$F(3, 12) = 15.48, p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.67; \beta = 0.73, p < 0.001,$
 $\beta = 0.214, p = 0.26,$
 $\beta = -0.01, p = 0.97.$

Session effect on decision caution

$F(1, 21) = 8.45, p < 0.01, \eta^2 = 0.287$ (2).
 T (=) T

T = 0.97, $p < 0.001,$ ()

T T

-

(& , 2007; , 2008).

(& , 2001) -
 , A , & (& 'C , 2013; -
 , 2010). T -

-

Brain network for sensory information accumulation

T T

C . C

C ' C
 C q

C C,
 C' 150

C ,

(, B , & , 2009;
 , 2011; , & , 2012).

(20 C) T

$p < 0.05,$
 $F(1, 21) = 2.697, p < 0.05,$;

() .
 C (, ,)
 (3A).

Learning effects within decision network

-

(3A T+),

150

(CA),

T (8 , ~60) ;

C

. T

, C 5.9 () .2 () .8 () -336 (7) -5.1 (C) -3 5 2 3.7 () 1 . () -2.4 (74 T () -1.7 () -6.4 () - 36


1 4, (T 1).
 (3A T+) 5 - (, ,
). 7, -

),
 T+, $F(1, 21) = 9.652$, $p = 0.005$, $\eta^2 =$
 0.315.

Learning modulates feedforward connectivity

,
 C (3A, T+, , 12)
 T ,
) 3A (T+ (C (. T
 ., 2015),
)





2012). T

CA . , q

(., 2007)
 (., 2001; T & ., 2003). -
 3A T+
 . T
 - q
 (., 1999) , & ., 2004) (&
 , & ., 2015; (B ., 2007). ,
 . , & ., 2010; T. & B -
 2011). ,
 (& ., 2008),

. T
 3A T+,
 T , C
 3A
 3A . T
 1998; (, 2013; & ,
 , 2005). ,
 ,
 ,
 ,
 ,
 A
 (C , 2015; , 2012; ,
 , & , 2016). ,
 , C (2015) . T+,
 T
 B
 A
 3A T+
 (C , 2015; , 2012,
 , 2016). A
 A
 , C
 3A
 , T+
 3A
 . T
 ()-330.2()- ()-2970 / 842 ()-233.3(T) T / 399.9(.1(

.6(()2731.9()-529.6() T T9)1323. T 1. 2330.6()2333. ()2530. 6()-333. 9.3.8(

3.8((6() T T1. T T 28-2.)-323.1(.) T-1.0915- 174 T(1-T)5. BA

1.

(B & , 2008; , & , 2004). (, ,
 , 2011; , 2009; , 2011; , 2012).
 , (B , 2006; & , 2004; , 2012).
 , 2009; C. C. & , 2012; (, 2011; & , 2014), BA
 (& , 2008). , BA
 (, 2011). T (3A T+ , 2018).
 . T , T
 (> 0.762 ; , < 0.001 4) C ' . (2015) ,
 79.4%.
 T+, 3A, . T ,
 BA , (C , , & , 2013; & , 2005; , & , 2014). T
 (. , (& T , 2001).
 (. ,)
 . T ,
 . A , B
 . B , BA ,
 (, ,)
 T T

(T & C, 2014) (& , 2017). (T & , 2003), 3A T+ . A (3A) T+). A T T (800). T (- 20). T (& , 2007; , 2016) *Ke d : LBA, d f a e, fMRI,*

Acknowledgments

500 1,000 500 . T & C (2017 B1002503) C (31470974, 31230029, 31271081) C (716321). T T C (2005CB522800), C (30621004, 90820307), C A C C : : A : @ . . C : , B , C .

References

A , . ., & , . (2011).

B g , 21, 1661 1666, :// . /10.1016/ .
.2011.08.037

4. *C e*

Ceiba Cere, 7, 181-192.
 (1997).
Reeac, 37, 1885-1895.
 , & (1996).
Ce B g, 6, 292-297.
 , A., & B (1980, 4).
 q . *Na e*, 287(5777), 43-44.
 , C. , , & . A.
 (2004).
B g, 14, 573-578, :// . /10.1016/ .
 2004.03.032.
 , T., & (2002).
 1 2. *J a fNe g*, 87,
 1867-1888, :// . /10.1152/ .00690.2001.
 , C. , , & C , . (2001). T
Ne, 31,
 681-697.
 , . , & (2007). T
A a Ree fNe ce ce,
 30, 535-574, :// . /10.1146/
 .29.051605.113038.
 , . , B , A., &
 , . (2004, 14). A
Na e, 431(7010), 859-862.
 , . , & , . .
 (2008). T
Na e Ree Ne -
ce ce, 9, 467-479, :// . /10.1038/
 2374.
 , . , & ä , A. (2003). CA :
 CA
IEEE
XIII W . Ne a e g a P ce (.
 259-268). T , :
 , . , ä , A., & , . (2004).
Ne age, 22, 1214-1222, :// . /10.
 1016/ . .2004.03.027.
 , T. C., B , . , & , . T. (2009).
T e J a fNe ce ce, 29,
 8675-8687, :// . /10.1523/
 5984-08.2009.
 , . , & T , . (2001).

V Reeac, 41(6),
 685-689, :// . /10.1016/
 0042-6989(00)00314- .
 , T., B , . , C.-B., , . , .
 , . , . (2010).
 1
C e B g, 20, 887-894, :// . /10.
 1016/ . .2010.03.066.
 , . , T , B. , , & , .
 (2007).
J a f
V, 7(10):14, 1-10, :// . /10.1167/7.10.
 14. A
 , A. C., , . , & , . . (2002).
 T T. *T e J a fNe ce ce*,
 22, 7195-7205.
 , . , & , A. . (2014).
T e J a fNe ce ce,
 34, 8423-8431, :// . /10.1523/
 C .0745-14.2014.
 , . , & , . A. (2007, A 19). A
Na e, 446(7138), 912-915, :// .
 /10.1038/ 05739.
 , . , & T , . (2012).
 B , .
 C . *T e J a fNe ce ce*, 32,
 16747-16753, :// . /10.1523/
 C .6112-11.2012.
 , . , & , . (2017).
A e , Pe ce & P c c, 79, 878-887,
 :// . /10.3758/ 13414-016-1261- .
 , T., , . , . , & , . .
 (2011).
Ne, 70(3), 549
 559, :// . /10.1016/ . .2011.02.054.
 , A., & , . (1991).
 :
P ceed g f e
Na a Acade fSc e ce, USA, 88, 4966-4970.
 , A. , B , B. , , . T., &
 , . . (2010). T
J a f
Ne g, 103, 1179-1194, :// . /
 10.1152/ .00364.2009.
 , . , & 'C , . . (2013).
T e J a f

Nucleonica, 33, 19434-19441, [://doi.org/10.1523/nuc.33355-13.2013](#).
S. J. & S. J. (1999).

q. *Nucleonica*, 2(2), 176-185,
[://doi.org/10.1038/5739](#).

S. J. & S. J. (2000). *Confidential*. *Technical Affairs*

Nucleonica, 20, 3310-3318.

S. J., A. S., & S. J., A. (2010).

1292-1298, *Nucleonica*, 13,
[://doi.org/10.1038/52635](#).

A, . . . , & , T. (2010).
Na e Re e Ne ce ce, 11, 53-60,
:// . /10.1038/ 2737.
A, A., . . . , & , . (2001,
A 2).
1 . *Na e*,
412(6846), 549-553.
, . . . , A. . . . , B., . . .
. B . . . , B , T. . . . & T , .
B. . (1995, 12). B
. *Sc e ce*, 268(5212), 889-893.
, . . . , & , . T., 2001.
) . *J a f Ne* (-
g , 86, 1916-1936.
, . . . C , . . . , T., & , . (2012).
3A
:// . /10.1371/ . 0044003.
, . . . , & , T. (2014). T -
:T
. *A a f e Ne Y Acade f*
Sc e ce, 1316, 18-28, :// . /10.1111/ .
12419.
, . . . , & , T.
(2016). 2
. *Ce eb a C e* , 26, 3681-3689, ://
. /10.1093/ / 176.
, . . . , & , . (2004).
. *T e d Ne -*
ce ce , 27, 161-168, :// . /10.1016/.
2004.01.006.
, . . . , & , . (2009). B
. *Ne age*, 46, 1004
1017, :// . /10.1016/ . 2009.
03.025.
, . . . A., . . . , & C , . (2014).
. *J a f V* , 14(8):8, 1-13,
:// . /10.1167/14.8.8. A
T , A. . . , & , . (2003).
1
. *J a f Ne g* , 89, 2086
2100, :// . /10.1152/ .00970.2002.
T , B., T , B. . . , & , . (2013).
. *PL S O e*, 8, 53458, :// . /
10.1371/ . 0053458.
T , . B. . . . , , . B., . . . , A.
. (1997). 3A
. *T e J a f*
Ne ce ce, 17, 7060-7078.
, . . . B , . . . , T., -
, . . . , T., . . . , & , B.
. (2011).
. *T e J a f Ne -*
ce ce, 31, 17488-17495, :// . /10.1523/
C .2924-11.2011.
, T., & , . (2015). :
T
. *A a Re e f*
P c g , 66, 1-25, :// . /10.1146/
-010814-015214.
, . . . , & , C. (2008). C
. *C e B g* , 18, 1922-1926,
:// . /10.1016/ . 2008.10.030.
, . . . , & , C , . (2014).
. *Na e Ne ce ce*, 17,

- : T
 . *F e P c g* , 3, 1 19, ://
 . /10.3389/ .2012.00263.
 , - ” , - ” , - ” , . A.,
 , . ” , & , C. (2010).
 . *T e J a f N e c e c e* , 30,
 12323 12328, :// . /10.1523/
 C .0704-10.2010.
 , .. , . ” , & , . B. (2012).
 . *N e a g e* , 63, 392

402, :// . /10.1016/ . .2012.06.
 058.
 , .. & , . B. (2014).
 -
 - . *F e N e c e c e* , 8,
 1 13, :// . /10.3389/ .2014.00069.
 , .. & , C. (2016). T
 : A . (2015).
J a f V , 16(3):29, 1 4, :// . /10.
 1167/16.3.29. A