

© 2018 American Psychological Association 0096-3445/18/\$12.00

2018, Vol. 147, No. 12, 1851–1864 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/xge0000487

What You See Depends on What You Hear: Temporal Averaging and Crossmodal Integration

Lihan Chen and Xiaolin Zhou Peking University Hermann J. Müller Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich and Birkbeck College, University of London

Zhuanghua Shi Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich

In our multisensory world, we often rely more on auditory information than on visual input for temporal processing. One typical demonstration of this is that the rate of auditory flutter assimilates the rate of concurrent visual flicker. To date, however, this auditory dominance effect has largely been studied using regular auditory rhythms. It thus remains unclear whether irregular rhythms would have a similar impact on visual temporal processing, what information is extracted from the auditory sequence that comes to influence visual timing, and how the auditory and visual temporal rates are integrated together in quantitative terms. We investigated these questions by assessing, and modeling, the influence of a task-irrelevant auditory sequence on the type of "Ternus apparent motion": group motion versus element motion. The type of motion seen critically depends on the time interval between the two Ternus display frames. We found that an irrelevant auditory sequence preceding the Ternus display modulates the visual interval, making observers perceive either more group motion or more element motion. This biasing effect manifests whether the auditory sequence is regular or irregular, and it is based on a summary statistic extracted from the sequential intervals: their geometric mean. However, the audiovisual interaction depends on the discrepancy between the mean auditory and visual intervals: if it becomes too large, no interaction occurs—which can be quantitatively described by a partial Bayesian integration model. Overall, our findings reveal a cross-modal perceptual averaging principle that may underlie complex audiovisual interactions in many everyday dynamic situations.

Keywords: perceptual averaging, auditory timing, visual apparent motion, multisensory interaction, Bayesian integration

Most stimuli and events in our everyday environments arespeaking. Of note in the present context, audiovisual integration multisensory. It is thus no surprise that our brain often combines and not only been demonstrated in spatial localization, but also in heard sound with a seen stimulus source, even if they are induced to the dominance of vision in conflict. One typical such phenomenon, in a performance weaudiovisual spatial perception, audition dominates temporal proenjoy, is theventriloquism effect Chen & Vroomen, 201;30ccelli, Bruns, Zampini, & Röder, 201;2Recanzone, 200;9Slutsky & how we tend to "auditorize" a conductor's arm movements coor-Recanzone, 200;1we perceive the ventriloquist's voice as coming dinating a musical passage, or Morse code flashes emanating from from the mouth of a dummy as if it was the dummy that is a naval ship. In fact, neuroscience evidence has revealed that

This article was published Online First September 13, 2018.

Zhuanghua Shi and Lihan Chen contributed equally.

Lihan Chen, Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences and School of Psy- Part of the study has been presented as a talk at the 17th International chological and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing Key Laboratory of Behavior andMultisensory Research Forum (IMRF, June 2016, Suzhou, China). This Mental Health, and Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of study was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of Education), Peking University; Xiaolin Zhou, Center for Brain and Cognitive China (Grants 31200760, 61621136008, and 61527804), Deutsche For-Sciences and School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Beijing Key Laboratory of Machine senschaftleraustauschaft Project SH166 3/1 and "projektbezogener Wis-Laboratory of Behavior and Mental Health, Key Laboratory of Machine senschaftleraustausch" (proWA). The data and the source code of Perception (Ministry of Education), and PKU-IDG/McGovern Institute for statistical analysis and modeling are availablehetps://github.com/Brain Research, Peking University; Hermann J. Müller, Department Psycholomsenselab/temporal_averaging

gie, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, and Department of Psychological Sciences, Birkbeck College, University of London; Zhuanghua Shi,Chen, School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences Peking University, Department of Psychologie, Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich. 5 YiHeYuan Road, Beijing 100871, China. E-mailh@pku.edu.cn information for time estimation is encoded in the primary auditory accomplished even from a set of variant objects or events; for cortex for both visual and auditory events (ani, Lloyd, Bueti, & example, we can quickly estimate the average size of apples in a Walsh, 201). This is consistent with the proposal that the percep-supermarket display, or the average tempo of a piece of music. tual system automatically abstracts temporal structure from rhythWith regard to the present context, audiovisual integration, it mic visual sequences and represents this structure using an audemains an open question how the average tempo in audition tory code (Guttman, Gilroy, & Blake, 200) guantitatively influences the temporal processing of visual

Another compelling demonstration of how auditory rhythm in- events-an issue that becomes prominent as the mechanisms unfluences visual tempo is known as the ditory driving effect derlying perceptual averaging processes themselves are still a (Boltz, 2017, Gebhard & Mowbray, 1959Knox, 1945 Shipley, matter of debate. There is evidence that the mental scales under-1964): the phenomenon that variations in auditory flutter rate maylying the representation of magnitudes (e.g., visual numerosity and noticeably influence the rate of perceived visual flicker. This temporal durations) are nonlinear rather than line with & influence, though, is dependent on the disparity between the auGibbon, 1991 Dehaene, Izard, Spelke, & Pica, 2009 ieder & ditory and visual rates Recanzone, 2003 Quantitatively, this Miller, 2003). It has also been reported that, in temporal bisection influence has been described by a Bayesian model of audiovisualice., comparing one interval with two reference intervals), the integration Roach, Heron, & McGraw, 2006 which assumes that subjective midpoint between one short and one long reference the brain takes into account prior knowledge about the discrepance quration is closer to their geometric, rather than their arithmetic, between the auditory and visual rates in determining the degree of hean Allan & Gibbon, 1991. However, it remains to be estabaudiovisual integration. Auditory driving is a robust effect that lished whether temporal rate averaging obeys the principle of the generalizes across different types of tasks, including temporaarithmetic mean (AM) or the geometric mean (GM), which might adjustment and productionM(vers, Cotton, & Hilp, 198) and have implications for a broad range of mechanisms coding "magnitude" in perception Walsh, 2003. perceptual discrimination Welch, Dution Hurt, & Warren, 1986 and it may even be seen in the effect of one single auditory interval On these grounds, the aim of the present study was to quantify on a subsequent visual intervalurr, Della Rocca, & Morrone, temporal rate averaging in a crossmodal, audiovisual scenario using irregular auditory sequences. To this end, we adopted and 2013.

It should be noted, however, that auditory driving has primarily extended the ernus temporal ventriloquis paradigm \$hi, Chen, been investigated using regular rhythms, the implicit assumption Müller, 2010), which we used previously to investigate crossbeing that the mean auditory rate influences the mean visual rate nodal temporal integration. In the standard Ternus temporal ven-On the contrary, studies one semble coding Alvarez, 2011 triloquism paradigm, two auditory beeps are paired with two visual Ariely, 2001) suggest that perceptual averaging can be rapidly Ternus frames. Visual Ternus display Sigure 1) can elicit two



Figure 1. Ternus display and stimulus configurations. Two alternative motion percepts of the Ternus display: (A) "element" motion for short interstimulus intervals (ISIs), with the middle dot perceived as remaining static while the outer dots are perceived to move from one side to the other, and (B) "group" motion for long ISIs, with the two dots perceived as moving in tandem. (C) Schematic illustration of the stimulus configurations used in the experiments. The auditory sequence consisted of 8–10 beeps. Two of the beeps (the 6th and the 7th) were synchronously paired with two visual Ternus frames which were separated by a visual \$thttermatic the main of the critical beeps, [S= ISI_A). The other auditory ISIs (IS) were systematically manipulated such that the mean of the ISpreceding the visual Ternus display was 50–70 ms shorter than, equal to, or 50–70 ms longer than the transition threshold between the element- and group-motion percepts of the visual Ternus events. The transition threshold was first estimated individually for each observer in a pretest session. During the experiment, observers were simply asked to indicate the type of visual motion (element or group) that they had perceived, while ignoring the beeps.

distinct percepts of visual apparent motionelementor group for the estimation and recruited more than the estimated sample motion, where the type of apparent motion is mainly determined size (of 15 participants). Given that the effects we aimed to by the visual interstimulus interval (I\$) between the two display frames (with other stimulus settings being fixed). Element motionsize of 12 participants in Experiments 4 and 5.

is typically observed with short ISI(e.g., of 50 ms), and group

motion with long ISI, (e.g., of 230 ms; seeigure 1A and 1B). Apparatus and Stimuli When two beeps are presented in temporal proximity to, or syn-

chronously with, the two visual frames, the beeps can systemati- The experiments were conducted in a dimly lit (luminance: 0.09 cally bias the transition threshold between the two types of visuadd/m²) cabin. Visual stimuli were presented in the central region of apparent motion: either toward element motion (if the auditorya 22-in. CRT monitor (FD 225P, Qing Dao, China), with a screen interval, ISk, is shorter than the visual interval) or toward group resolution of 1.024× 768 pixels and a refresh rate of 100 Hz. motion (if ISI_A is longer than the visual intervation and in Viewing distance was 57 cm, maintained by using a chin rest. Similar temporal ventriloguism effects have also been found with A visual Ternus display consisted of two stimulus frames, each other tasks, such as temporal order judgments (for a review, secontaining two black disks (10.24 cd/mdisk diameter and sepa Chen & Vroomen, 201) Here, we extended the Ternus temporal ration between disks: 1.6° and 3° of visual angle, respectively) ventriloquism paradigm by presenting a whole sequence of beepsresented on a gray background (16.1 c)/mThe two frames prior to the Ternus display frames, in addition to the two beepschared one element location at the center of the monitor, while paired with Ternus frames (seegure 1C recall that previous containing two other elements located at horizontally opposite studies had presented just the latter two beeps) to examine theositions relative to the center (seegure 1). Each frame was influence of the temporal averaging of auditory intervals on visualpresented for 30 ms; the interstimulus interval (ISbetween the apparent motion. two frames was randomly selected from the range of 50-230 ms,

Experiment 1 was designed, in the first instance, to demonstrativith a step size of 30 ms. an auditory driving effect using this new paradigm. In Experiment Mono sound beeps (1000 Hz, 65 dB, 30 ms) were generated and 2, we went on to examine whether temporal averaging with irreg-delivered via an M-Audio card (Delta 1010, Bei Jing, China) to a ular auditory sequences would have a similar impact on visuaheadset (Philips SHM1900, Bei Jing, China). To ensure accurate apparent motion. In Experiment 3, we manipulated the variabilitytiming of the auditory and visual stimuli, the duration of the visual of the auditory sequence to examine for (and quantify) influences timuli and the synchronization of the auditory and visual stimuli of the variability of the auditory intervals on visual apparent were controlled via the monitor's vertical synchronization pulses. motion. In Experiment 4, we further determined which types of The experimental program was written with Matlab (Mathworks, temporal averaging statistics, the AM or the GM of the auditory Natick, MA) and the Psychophysics Toolbox rainard, 1997. intervals, influences visual Ternus apparent motion. And Experi-

ment 5 was designed to rule out a potential confound, namely, **Experimental Design**

"recency" effect-with the last auditory interval dominating the

Ternus motion percept—in the cross-modal temporal averaging. **Practice.** Prior to the formal experiment, participants were Finally, we aimed to identify the computational model that bestfamiliarized with visual Ternus displays of either typical element describes the cross-modal temporal interaction: mandatory fullmotion (with an ISI/ of 50 ms) or typical group motion (I\$Iof Bayesian integration versus partial integratior (st & Banks, 2002 Roach et al., 2006

Materials and Method

Participants

260 ms) in a practice block. They were asked to discriminate the two types of apparent motion by pressing the left or the right mouse button, respectively. The mapping between response button and type of motion was counterbalanced across participants. During practice, when a response was made that was inconsistent with the typical motion percept, immediate feedback appeared on the screen showing the typical response (i.e., element or group mo-

A total of 84 participants (21, 22, 16, 12, 12 in Experiments 1–5;tion). The practice session continued until the participant reached ages ranging from 18–33 years) took part in the main experiments conformity of 95%. All participants achieved this criterion within All observers had normal or corrected-to-normal vision and re-120 trials, given that the two extreme ISIs used (50 and 260 ms, ported normal hearing. The experiments were performed in comrespectively) gave rise to nonambiguous percepts of either element pliance with the institutional guidelines set by the Academic motion or group motion.

Affairs Committee of the Department of Psychology, Peking University (approved protocol of "#Perceptual averaging [2012-03-element and group motion was determined in a pretest session. A 01]"). All observers provided written informed consent according trial began with the presentation of a central fixation cross for 300 to the institutional guidelines prior to participating and were paidto 500 ms. After a blank screen of 600 ms, the two Ternus frames for their time on a basis of 20 CNY/hr. were presented synchronized with two auditory tones (i.e., base-

The number of participants recruited for Experiments 1 and 2line: $ISI_{v} = ISI_{A}$); this was followed by a blank screen of 300 to was based on the effect size in our previous study of the temporation ms, prior to a screen with a question mark prompting the Ternus ventriloquism effecs (hi et al., 201)), where the pairing of participant to make a two-forced-choice response indicating the auditory beeps with the visual Ternus displays yielded a Cohen's profession of perceived motion (element or group motion). The ISI d greater than 1 for the modulation of the Ternus motion percept between the two visual frames was randomly selected from one of We thus used a conservative effect size of 0.25 and a power of 0.8 following seven intervals: 50, 80, 110, 140, 170, 200, and 230

with left- and rightward apparent motion. The presentation order of auditory-interval sequences with 8-10 beeps: a low coefficient of the trials was randomized for each participant. Participants pervariang formed a total of 280 trials, divided into four blocks of 70 trials and each. After completing the pretest, the psychometric curve was fitted to the proportions of group motion responses across the seven intervals (see the Data Analysis and Modeling section). The and omly generat transition threshold, that is, the point of subjective equality (PSE)and CV. The nu at which the participant was equally likely to report the two motion catch trials to percepts, was calculated by estimating the ISI at the point on then to 24 bl fitted curve that corresponded to 50% of group motion reports. The Exp just noticeable difference (JND), an indicator of the sensitivity of con apparent motion discrimination, was calculated as half of thein difference between the lower (25%) and upper (75%) bounds of the thresholds from the psychometric curve.

ms. There were 40 trials for each level of JScounterbalanced

Main experiments. In the main experiments, the procedure of visual stimulus presentation was the same as in the pretest session, except that prior to the occurrence of the two Ternus display frames, an auditory sequence consisting of a variable number of 6-8 beeps was presented (see below for the details of the onset of the Ternus display frames relative to that of the auditory sequence). As in the pretest, the onset of the two visual Ternus frames (each presented for 30 ms) was accompanied by a (30-ms) auditory beep (i.e., $ISI = ISI_{A}$). A trial began with the presen tation of a central fixation marker, randomly for 300 to 500 ms. After a 600-ms blank interval, the auditory train and the visual Ternus frames were presented (segure 1), followed sequentially by a blank screen of 300 to 500 ms and a screen with a question mark at the screen center prompting participants to indicate the type of motion they had perceived: element versus group motion (nonspeeded response). Participants were instructed to focus on the visual task, ignoring the sounds. After the response, the next trial started following a random intertrial interval of 500 to 700 ms.

In Experiment 1 (regular sound sequence), the audiovisual Ternus frames was preceded by an auditory sequence of 6-8 beeps with a constant interstimulus interval (LSI, manipulated to be 70 ms shorter than, equal to, or 70 ms longer than the transition threshold estimated in the pretest. The total auditory sequence consisted of 8-10 beeps, including those accompanying the two visual Ternus frames, with the latter being inserted mainly at the sixth-seventh positions, and followed by 0-2 beeps (number selected at random), to minimize expectations as to the onset of the visual Ternus frames. Visual Ternus frames were presented on 75% of all trials (504 trials in total). The remaining 25% were catch trials (168 trials) to break up anticipatory processes. All trials were randomized and organized into 12 blocks, each block containing 56 trials. The ISJ between the two visual Ternus frames was randomly selected from one of the following seven intervals: 50, 80, 110, 140, 170, 200, and 230 ms.

In Experiment 2 (irregular sound sequence), the settings were the same as in Experiment 1, except that the auditory trains were irregular: the ISL between adjacent beeps in the auditory train (except the ISI between the beeps accompanying the visual Ternus frames) were varied 20 ms uniformly and randomly around (i.e., they were either 20 ms shorter or 20 ms longer than) a given mean interval (three levels: 70 ms shorter than, equal to, or 70 ms longer than the individual transition threshold).

Experiment 3 introduced two levels of variability in the



(audio-) visual Ternus apparent motion and for the formal exper-between element- and group-motion reports (for both regular and iments, as well as fitting the corresponding cumulative Gaussianirregular ISL). Auditory sequences with a relatively long mean psychometric functions. Based on the psychometric functions, wauditory interval, as compared with a short interval, were found to could then estimate the discrimination variability of Ternus appar-elicit more reports of group motion, as indicated by the smaller ent motion (i.e., σ_m) based on the standard deviation of the PSEs Figure 2, for both regular intervals; (2, 40) = 12.22, p < cumulative Gaussian function. The parameters of the Bayesiar $001, \eta_g^2 = 0.112$, and irregular interval(2, 42) = 8.25, p < models (see Bayesian modeling section below) were estimated b(01, $\eta_g^2 = 0.04$). That is, the perceived visual interval (which minimizing the prediction errors using the R optim function. Our determines the ensuing motion percept) was assimilated by the raw data, together with the source code of statistical analyses analyerage of the preceding auditory intervals, regardless of whether Bayesian modeling, are available at the github reposition structure and the github reposition of the second structure and the auditory intervals were regular or irregular. Post hoc Bonfergithub.com/msenselab/temporal averaging roni comparison tests revealed that this assimilation effect was

Results

Experiments 1 and 2: Both Regular and Irregular Auditory Intervals Alter the Visual Motion Percept

mainly driven by the short auditory intervals in both experiments: ps were 0.001, 0.00001, and 0.57 for the comparisons versus 0 ms, -70 versus 70 ms, and, respectively, 0 versus 70 ms for the regular intervals; and 0.015, 0.0002, 0.77 for the comparisons of the irregular intervals Figure 2Cand 2D).

The fact that a crossmodal assimilation effect was obtained even We manipulated the intervals between successive beeps (i.e., their irregular auditory sequences suggests that the effect is un-ISIA prior to the Ternus display) to be either regular or irregular, likely due to temporal expectation, or a general effect of auditory but with their AM being either 70 ms shorter, equal to, or 70 ms entrainment Jones, Moynihan, MacKenzie, & Puente, 2002 longer than the transition threshold (measured in the pretest arge & Jones, 1999 In addition, the assimilation effect observed



Figure 2. The average means of both regular and irregular auditory sequences influence the visual motion percept. (A) Regular auditory-sequence condition: For a typical participant, mean proportions of group-motion responses as a function of the probe visual interval, []Shd fitted psychometric curves, for auditory sequences with different (arithmetic) mean intervals relative to the individual transition thresholds; the relative-interval labels (-70, 0, and 70) denote the three conditions of the mean auditory interval being 70 ms shorter than, equal to, and 70 ms longer than the pretest transition threshold, respectively. (B) Irregular auditory-sequence condition: for a typical participant, mean proportions of group-motion responses and fitted psychometric curves. (C) Mean points of subjective equality (PSEs) as a function of the relative auditory interval for the regular-sequence condition; error bars represent standard errors of the means. (D) Mean PSEs as a function of the relative auditory interval for the irregular-sequence condition; error bars represent standard errors of the mean 205.

is unlikely due to a recency effect. To examine for such an effect leading to a weaker assimilation effect compared with low variwe split the trials into two categories according to the auditoryance. To examine for effects of the variance of the auditory interval that just preceded the visual Ternus interval: short andntervals on visual Ternus apparent motion, we directly manipulong preceding intervals with reference to the auditory meanated the relative standard deviation of the auditory intervals while interval. The length of the immediately preceding interval failed to fixing their AM. One key property of time perception is that it is produce any significant modulation of apparent visual motion, scalar Church, Meck, & Gibbon, 1994Gibbon, 1977, that is, the 22) = 2.14, p = .15. An account in terms of a recency effect was estimation error increases linearly as the time interval increases further ruled out by a dedicated control experiment that directlyapproximately following Weber's law. Given this, we used CVs, fixed the last auditory interval (see Experiment 5 below).

Furthermore, in the regular condition, the mean JNDSE for the three ISI conditions (34.9 ±3.1], 30.5 ±3.4], and 28.4 the JND for the threshold (baseline) condition (18:81[2] ms; p = .001, p = .002, and p = .033 for the shorter, equal, and longer selves (albs > 0.1). The same held true for the irregular condition: JNDs of 31.8 ±3.2), p = .001, 30.6 ±2.3), p = .005, and 27.2 (± 2.2) ms compared with the baseline 18:62(1) ms, without differing among themselves (abs > 0.1). The worsened sensitivtional entrainment, as attentional entrainment would have been. expected to enhance the sensitivity.

Experiment 3: Variability of Auditory Intervals Influences Visual Ternus Apparent Motion

that is, the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean, to manipulate standardized variability across multiple auditory intervals. Specifically, we compared a low CV (0.1) with a high CV (0.3) [±2.9] ms for the ISI 70 ms shorter, equal to, and, respectively, condition, with an orthogonal variation of the (arithmetic) mean 70 ms longer relative to the transition threshold) were larger than auditory interval: 50 ms shorter, equal to, or 50 ms longer than the predetermined transition threshold.

The main effect of mean interval was significa F(2, 30) =conditions vs. the "threshold"), without differing among them- $11.8, p < .001, \eta_g^2 = 0.078$, with long intervals leading to more reports of group motion (i.e., lower PSEs: mean PSE of ±3/26 ms), short intervals to fewer reports of group motion (i.e., higher PSEs: mean PSE of 14² 6.7 ms), and equal intervals to an intermediate proportion of group-motion reports (mean PSE of ities in the three conditions with auditory beep trains suggest that 138 ± 5.3 ms). Post hoc Bonferroni comparisons revealed this significant differences between the short and equal interpats (

.01) and the short and long intervals \leq .001), but not between the equal and long intervals (= .49). Interestingly, the main effect of CV was significant (though the effect size is small), $15) = 5.29, p < .05, \eta_g^2 = 0.044$, while the interaction between

According to quantitative models of multisensory integration mean interval and CV was not (2, 30) = 0.31, p = .73, $\eta_g^2 = .73$ 0.0008 Figure 3. Further examination for a (potentially con-(Ernst & Di Luca, 2011 Shi, Church, & Meck, 2013 the strength of the assimilation effect would be determined by the variability of founding) recency effect, adopting the same comparison as for the both the auditory intervals and the visual Ternus interval, assuming revious experiments, yielded no evidence that the main effects we that information is integrated from all intervals. According to obtained are attributable to the length of the auditory interval optimal full integration, high variance of the auditory sequence immediately preceding the visual interval (1, 15) = 0.33, p = would result in a low auditory weight in audiovisual integration, .55.



Figure 3. Points of subjective equality (PSEs) between element- and group-motion reports for auditory beep trains with a low and a high coefficient of (auditory-interval) variance (CV, 0.1 or 0.3), as a function of the (arithmetic) mean auditory interval (50 ms shorter, equal to, or 50 ms longer than the pretest transition threshold).

These results are interesting in two respects. First, according the GeoM, and the baseline conditions, respective $(\mathbf{Q}, 22) =$ mandatory, full Bayesian integration (see the Bayesian Modeling 8.81, p < .05, $\eta_q^2 = 0.08$ (Figure 4). Bonferroni-corrected comsection below for details), auditory-interval variability should af- parisons revealed the transition threshold to be significantly larger fect the weights of the crossmodal temporal integration integration of the crossmodal temporal temporal integration of temporal t for the GeoM compared with the baseline condition, < .01, 1999 Shi et al., 2013 with greater variance lessening the influ- whereas there was no difference between the AriM and the baseence of the average auditory interval. Accordingly, the slopes of ine condition, p = 1. This pattern indicates that ensemble coding the fitted lines in Figure 2would be expected to be flatter under the of the auditory interval assimilates the visual interval toward the high compared with the low CV condition, yielding an interaction GM rather than the AM.

between mean interval and CV. The fact that this interaction was

nonsignificant suggests that the ensemble mean of the auditory

intervals is not fully integrated with the visual interval (we will Experiment 5: Auditory Sequences With the Last return to this point in the Bayesian Modeling section). Second, the Interval Fixed

downward shift of the PSEs in the low, compared with the high,

CV condition indicates that the perceived auditory mean interval In Experiments 1-3, we split the data according to the last (that influences the audio-visual integration) is actually not theinterval (i.e., the interval preceding the visual Ternus display) of AM that we manipulated. An alternative account of this shift may the auditory sequence into two categories (short vs. long), which derive from the fact that the auditory sequences with higher CVfailed to reveal any influence of the last interval. In Experiment 5, have a lower GM than the sequences with low variance, that is: the formally manipulated the last interval by fixing it at the perceived ensemble mean is likely geometrically encoded. Experrespective transition threshold for the short and long auditory iment 4 was designed to address this (potential) confound by equences (i.e., sequences with the smaller and, respectively, directly comparing the effects of ensemble coding based on the arger GMs). Figure 5 depicts the responses of a typical participant GM versus the AM.

Experiment 4: Perceptual Averaging of Auditory Intervals Assimilates the Visual Interval Toward the GM Rather Than the AM

from Experiment 5. The PSEs were 153.17(3) and, respectively, 137.9 ± 9.1) for the short and long conditions, respectively, t(11) = 3.640, p < .01. That is, reports of element motion were more dominant in the short than in the long condition, replicating the findings of the previous experiments. In other words, it was the

In Experiment 4, we compared three types of auditory sequence an auditory interval, rather than the last interval (prior to the in our audiovisual Ternus apparent motion paradigm: a baselindernus frames), that assimilated visual Ternus apparent motion. sequence, an AriM sequence, and a GeoM sequence. The PSEsiven this, the audiovisual interactions we found here are unlikely to be attributable to a recency effect. were 136 \pm 5.46), 148 \pm 6.17), and 136 \pm 6.2) ms for the AriM,

Figure 5. Mean proportions of group-motion responses from a typical github.com/msenselab/temporal_averabitMge assessed the goodand fitted psychometric curves, for the two geometric mean conditions: the (R²) and Bayesian information criteria (BIC). The BIC and scores quence (with the larger geometric mean).

Bayesian Modeling

Bayesian integration and partial Bayesian integration. If the sual ISIs across all experimental conditioning ure 6 illustrates the ensemble-coded auditory-interval mean (and the audiovisual Ternus display interval M) are fully integrated according to the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) principleE(mst & Banks, 2002), and both are normally distributed (e.g., fluctuating due to responses (seeigure 6). internal Gaussian noise)—that $As \approx N(I_a, \sigma_a), M \sim N(I_m, \sigma_m)$ —the imum variability, can be predicted as follows:

$$I_{full} = wI_a + (1 - w)I_m, \tag{1}$$

where w = $(1\sigma_a^2)(1\sigma_a^2 + 1\sigma_m^2)$ is the weight of the averaged auditory interval, which is proportional to its reliability. Note that

full optimal integration is typically observed when the two "cues" Table 1

are close to each other, but it breaks down when their discrepandylodel Comparison Using BIC and Ror the Partial- and becomes too largek(örding et al., 2007 Parise, Spence, & Ernst, **Full-Integration Model**

2012 Roach et al., 2006 In our study, the Ternus interval and the mean auditory interval could differ substantially on some trials (e.g., visual interval of 50 ms paired with mean auditory interval of 210 ms). Given this, a more appropriate model would need to tak Experiments a "discrepancy" prior and the causal structure (ding et al., 2007) of audio-visual temporal integration into consideration. Thus, similar toRoach et al. (2006)here we assume that the probability of full integration P_{am} depends on the discrepancy between the mean auditory and Ternus intervals:

$$P_{am} \sim e^{-(l_a - l_m)^2 \sigma_{am}^2},$$
 (2)

where σ_{am}^2 is the variance of the sensory measures of the discrepmodel.

$$\hat{I}_{av} = P_{am}\hat{J}_{full} + (1 - P_{am})I_{v}.$$
(3)

Combined with Equation 1 Equation 3can be simplified as follows:

$$I_{av} = (1 - wP_{am})I_v + wP_{am}I_a.$$
(4)

To compare the full-integration another transformed and the second secon we took into account the data from those of our experiments that manipulated the auditory-interval regularity and variability (Experiments 1-3; we excluded Experiments 4 and 5, as these did not include a baseline task of Ternus apparent-motion perception; see the Materials and Method section). Given that the baseline task provided an estimate of σ_m , there is one parameter σ_a for the full-integration model and two parameters σ_a and σ_{am} for the partial-integration model, which require parameter fitting. This was carried out using the optimization algorithm L-BFGS in R (see our source codetats://

participant as a function of the probe visual interstimulus interval (ISIv), mess of the resulting fits by means of coefficients of determination "short" sequence (with the smaller geometric mean) and the "long" seare presented in able 1 As can be seen, the BIC differences between the partial- and full-integration models are large for all experiments, clearly favoring the partial-integration modelass & Raftery, 1995 The R² values also confirm this finding.

To visualize how well the partial-integration model predicts To account for the above findings, we implemented, and combehavioral performance, we calculated the predicted mean repared, two variants of Bayesian integration models: mandatory fullsponses based on the partial-integration model for individual vipredictions, indicated by curves, together with the observed mean responses, indicated by shape points. As can be seen, the predicted mean responses are within one standard error of the observed mean

The key difference between the full- and partial-integration expected optimally integrated audio-visual interval, which yields min-models is that the latter takes the probability of cross-modal integration into account; accordingly, the weight of the auditory ensemble intervals (i.ewPam) depends on the difference between the ensemble mean of the auditory intervals and the visual interval.

> Note. The differential Bayesian information criterion (BIC) scores revealed the partial-integration model to outperform the full-integration model across all experiments (very strong evidence in all experiments: Δ BIC >10). The absolute values of bold type are the differences between BIC scores by partial-integration model and BIC scores by full-integration

integration Full integration \mathbb{R}^2 \mathbb{R}^2 BIC BIC ΔBIC -1.859.86 -1.392.63 467 Irregular Regular -1,932 .91 -1,772.88 160 -2,894 -2,878 Variance .91 .91 16

Partial

is not to be disseminated broadly







This can be seen in grigure 7, which illustrates the dynamic changes with the partial-integration model, as evidenced by the BIC and of the auditory weights across the various audio-visual interval \mathbb{R}^2 scores (see Table 1). Thus, taken together, the partial-discrepancy conditions. All three experiments exhibit a similar integration model can well explain the behavioral data that we pattern: weights are at their peak when the visual interval and the beserved.

auditory mean intervals are close to each other. For example, the peaks for the relative intervals of 0 ms (i.e., the auditory mean intervals were set to the individual visual thresholds) are around

General Discussion

140 ms, close to the mean visual transition threshold (134.6 ms for Using an audiovisual Ternus apparent motion paradigm, we regular and 135.3 ms for irregular sequences, and 139.0 ms for lowonducted five experiments on audiovisual temporal integration and 144.8 ms for high variance). For relative intervals of 70 ms,with regular and irregular auditory sequences presented prior to the peaks are shifted rightward; and for relative intervals **a b** the (audio-) visual Ternus display. We found that perceptual averaging of both regular (Experiment 1) and irregular auditory

Based on the responses predicted by the partial-integration equences (Experiments 2 and 3) greatly influenced the timing model, we further calculated the predicted PSE squre 8 of the subsequent visual interval, as expressed in systematic shows a linear relation between the observed and predicted hanges of the transition threshold in visual Ternus apparent PSEs for all experiments. Linear regression revealed a signifimation: longer mean auditory intervals elicited more reports of cant linear correlation, with a slope of 0.978 and an adjurded group motion, whereas shorter mean intervals gave rise to The full-integration model, by contrast, produced flat psycho-dominant element motion. In Experiment 4, we further found metric curves for 6% of the individual conditions in Experi- that the GM of the auditory intervals can explain the audioviments 1 and 2 (due to the weight of the mean auditory intervalsual interaction better than the AM. Further (post hoc) analyses approaching 1), which yielded unreliable estimates of the cor-and a purpose-designed experiment (Experiment 5) effectively responding PSEs. This led to lower predictive power compareduled out an explanation of these findings in terms of a recency



Figure 7. Predicted weights (i.e.wP_{arr} based on the partial-integration model) of the auditory ensemble intervals as a function of the visual interstimulus interval (ISIv) of the Ternus display, separately for auditory sequences with different (arithmetic) mean intervals relative to the individual transition thresholds. The relative-interval labels-(70, -50, 0, 50, and 70 ms) denote the magnitude of the difference between the mean auditory interval and the transition threshold.

effect, that is, a dominant influence of the last interval prior to strategy to cope with limitations in attentional and working memthe Ternus framesUsing a Bayesian integration approach, we ory capacity Allik, Toom, Raidvee, Averin, & Kreegipuu, 2014 showed that the behavioral responses are best predicted by partiathetverikov, Campana, & Kristjánsson, 2046given that we can cue integration, rather than by full integration. Thus, our resultshave conscious access to only very few items from the total reveal the processing—in particular, the temporal averaging—of amount of information received by our senses at any one time (e.g., train of beeps that forms the background context of the visual tastBundesen, Habekost, & Kyllingsbaek, 2005ohen, Dennett, & to play a critical role in crossmodal temporal integration, evenKanwisher, 2016Cowan, 2001Marois & Ivanoff, 2005. In this when participants are asked to ignore the auditory stimuli.

Perceptual Averaging and Crossmodal Temporal Rate Interaction

situation, perceptual averaging would endow us with an efficient and, in evolutionary terms, competitive solution to overcome bandwidth limitations (/IcClelland & Bayne, 201); thus constituting one of the underlying computational principles for selecting appropriate actions to achieve our current behavioral goals.

Extracting key statistical information from sets of objects or Clearly, timing is fundamental for dynamic perception, and thereevents in our environment would provide us with a perceptual fore unlikely to be an exception with regard to perceptual averag-



ing (Hardy & Buonomano, 201; McDermott & Simoncelli, 201). For instance, when listening to a piece of music, we can immediately tell the average tempo, even though the individual "notes" may not be well remembered. And when watching a field of runners in a competition, we immediately know whether it is a slow or a fast race overall.

Research on the audiovisual interaction in (cross-modal) event timing has shown auditory rate to have a pronounced influence on visual rate perception (ecanzone, 20032009 Roach et al., 2006 Shipley, 196). The visual temporal rate is often assimilated to the auditory rate, due to the higher temporal resolution of audition compared with vision. Of note, however, the extant studies have used only regular temporal sequences, thus leaving it an open question whether the mechanism underlying the assimilation effect is perceptual averaging, temporal entrainment, or a recency effect from the latest auditory interval. On this background, the present study examined how irregular auditory sequences influence visual interval timing—measured in terms of the transition threshold of Ternus apparent motion—and showed that it is the temporal averaging of the auditory sequence (regardless of its regularity) tha Perceptual Averaging and Temporal Entrainment exerted a great influence on the visual interval.

Temporal Averaging and Geometric Encoding

The present results indicate that the GM well encapsulates the bry entrainment paradigm, the rhythm itself is irrelevant with summary statistics of the temporal structure hidden in a complexespect to the visual target events that are to be detected (or multisensory streamHanson, Heron, & Whitaker, 2008Heron, discriminated), though temporal expectations induced by the Roach, Hanson, McGraw, & Whitaker, 2012 Previous work on rhythm influence attentional selection of the tardetkatos, Karnumerosity had already suggested that the mental scales underly Tos, Mehta, Ulbert, & Schroeder, 200 Rhythmically (i.e., with ing the representation of visual numerosity and temporal magnitemporal attention) anticipated target events are detected or distudes are best characterized as being nonlinear, as opposed deminated more rapidly than early or late events that are out of linear, in nature Dehaene, 2003Dehaene et al., 2008Nieder & phase with the peaks of the attentional modulation induced by the Miller, 2003, 2004 Rips, 2013. For example, adults from the entrainment Ronconi & Melcher, 2017. Irregular rhythms, by Mundurucu, an Amazonian indigenous tribe with a limited number contrast, have been shown to disrupt temporal attention, as evilexicon, map numerical quantities onto space in a logarithmicdenced by reduced benefits for responding to the target events fashion Dehaene et al., 2008ut seeCicchini, Arrighi, Cecchetti, (Miller, Carlson, & McAuley, 2013). Importantly, in the present Giusti, & Burr, 2012. A seminal study by Allan and Gibbon also study, both regular and irregular auditory sequences did reduce showed that temporal bisection coincided with the GM of the two(rather than enhance) the sensitivity of discriminating Ternus reference durations Allan & Gibbon, 1991. Our findings reveal apparent (i.e., element vs. group) motion, as evidenced by the that extraction of the GM also underlies temporal averaging-andncreased JNDs. In contrast, the averaged temporal intervals, this might well be a principle shared by a broad range of mechawhether these formed a regular or irregular series, were automatnisms coding magnitude in perception/alsh, 2003. ically integrated with the subsequent visual interval, as expressed

Partial Integration in Cross-Modal Temporal Processing

Research on multisensory integration has shown that the mechanism that operates independently of attentional entrainment processes. "proximity" and "similarity" of the spatiotemporal structure of

multisensory signals-technically, their cross-correlation in time (and space)—is critical for inferring an underlying common source to both signal streamsa(rise & Ernst, 201;6Parise et al., 2012. Accordingly, highly correlated audiovisual events

are likely perceived as arising from a single, multisensory One might ask why the brain would at all take into account entirely task-irrelevant contexts—such as, in the present study, source.Roach and colleagues (2006) antified this for audiovisual rate perception by introducing a disparity prior, that is, the (mean of the) intervals of an irrelevant auditory setheir model assumes that the strength of cross-modal temporaduence-in multisensory integration. As revealed by our experintegration is dependent on the disparity between the auditoryments, the discrimination sensitivity for visual apparent motion and visual temporal rates. became actually worse and the motion percept became biased

In the present study, by comparing two variants of Bayesiarby including the irrelevant auditory sequence. Note, however, integration models, full and partial integration, our findings also that, in the real world, there are normally strong associations quantitatively elucidate the way in which geometric averaging of and correlations in the multisensory inputs-so that drawing on the preceding, task-irrelevant auditory intervals assimilates the his additional information often increases the reliability of subsequent, perceived visual interval between the Ternus displayerceptual estimates. For example, the rhythmic sound pattern frames. The modeling results indicate that the ensemble mean offroduced by a train moving along the track would help us the auditory intervals onlypartially integrates with the visual improve our estimation of the train's speed, given that the interval, dependent on the time disparity between the two: whentempo of the track sound is linearly correlated with the speed of the mean of the auditory intervals is close to the visual interval the train. Indeed, convergent evidence suggests that multisenthey are optimally integrated according to the MLE principle; in sory integration can reduce the uncertainty of the final estimates contrast, if the ensemble mean deviates grossly from the visual many situations ∉rnst & Banks, 2002 Ernst & Di Luca, interval, partial integration, based on the cross-modal disparity2011). However, integrating multiple sources of information provides a superior account of the behavioral data to mandatory that deviates from the currently relevant information may enfull integration. However, in contrast to full integration, partial gender unwanted biases. Such contextual modulations have integration requires participants to take both the mean statisticbeen reported in various forms. For example, when performing and the cross-modal disparity into account. This is consistent with series of time estimations, observers' judgment of a given a large body of literature on temporal contextual modulation, interval is biased toward the intervals that they just experienced within the broader framework of Bayesian optimizationatayeri (Jazayeri & Shadlen, 20)0-which is known as acentraltendency effectPetzschner, Glasauer, & Stephan, 20\$5i & & Shadlen, 2010Roach, McGraw, Whitaker, & Heron, 2013hi et al., 2013, where prior information (e.g., history information or Burr, 2016 Shi et al., 2013; A similar contextual modulation is

a discrepancy prior) is incorporated in multisensory integration. also at work in the so-callet me-shrinking illusion in which

Irrelevant Context in Multisensory Perceptual

in the systematic biasing of the reported visual motion percepts.

strated here reflect a genuine, automatic perceptual averaging

This "dissociation" implies that the assimilation effects demon-

Averaging

One important question to be considered is whether the assimilation effect induced by perceptual averaging can be distinguished, at root, from attentional entrainment. In the typical audithe percept of the last auditory interval is assimilated by themate-here: the visual interval. Although we have provided a preceding intervals Makajima, ten Hoopen, Hilkhuysen, & formal (partial Bayesian integration) description of this cross-Sasaki, 1992Nakajima et al., 2004 as well as in audiovisual modal assimilation effect, further purpose-designed research is interval judgments when auditory and visual intervals are pre-required to provide a complete picture of underlying, interactsented sequentially Burr et al., 2013. The present study dem- ing neural mechanisms.

onstrated that such an audiovisual integration still occurs even

when participants are explicitly told to ignore the (task-

overcoming attentional and working memory capacity limita-

move together (e.g., to the left or right) or only one dot

irrelevant) auditory sequence, suggesting that processes of top-

down control cannot fully shield visual motion perception from Allan, L. G., & Gibbon, J. (1991). Human bisection at the geometric mean. audiovisual temporal integration.

Conclusion

References

Learning and Motivation, 22,39-58. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0023-9690(91)90016-2 Allik, J., Toom, M., Raidvee, A., Averin, K., & Kreegipuu, K. (2014).

Obligatory averaging in mean size perceptionision Research, 101,

It has long been known that auditory flutter drives visual Alvarez, G. A. (2011). Representing multiple objects as an ensemble flicker (Shipley, 1964—a typical phenomenon of audiovisual enhances visual cognition Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 1522-131. temporal interaction with regular auditory sequences. Here, in http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2011.01.003 five experiments, we demonstrated that irregular auditory seAriely, D. (2001). Seeing sets: Representation by statistical properties.

quences also capture temporal processing of subsequently pre-Psychological Science, 12,57-162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467sented visual (target) events, measured in terms of the biasing 9280.00327

of Ternus apparent motion. Importantly, it is the geometric Boltz, M. G. (2017). Auditory driving in cinematic and usic Perception, averaging of the auditory intervals that assimilates the visual 35,77-93.http://dx.doi.org/10.1525/mp.2017.35.1.77 interval between the two visual Ternus display frames, thereby Brainard, D. H. (1997). The Psychophysics Toolb Spatial Vision, 10, influencing decisions on perceived visual motion. Further work is required to examine whether the principles of geometric ^{Bundesen}, C., Habekost, T., & Kyllingsbaek, S. (2005). A neural theory of averaging and partial cross-modal integration demonstrated Review, 112291–328.http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.112.2.291 here (for an audiovisual dynamic perception scenario) general Burr, D., Della Rocca, E., & Morrone, M. C. (2013). Contextual effects in ize to other perceptual mechanisms underlying magnitude esti- interval-duration judgements in vision, audition and toutekperimental mation in multisensory integration. Brain Research, 23087-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-013-

Context of the Research

3632-z Buus, S. (1999). Temporal integration and multiple looks, revisited: Weights as a function of timeJournal of the Acoustical Society of

Perceptual averaging of sensory properties, such as the mean America, 1052466-2475http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.426859 number, size, and spatial layout of objects in a scene, has been has been L., & Vroomen, J. (2013). Intersensory binding across space and documented extensively in the visuospatial domain. It allows us 75, 790-811.http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/s13414-013-0475-4to capture our environment at a glance, in summary terms-Chetverikov, A., Campana, G., & Kristjánsson, Á. (2016). Building en-

semble representations: How the shape of preceding distractor distributions. This phenomenon prompted us to ask whether and, if so, tions affects visual searclCognition, 153,196-210.http://dx.doi.org/ how processes of perceptual averaging may also be applied in 10.1016/j.cognition.2016.04.018

the temporal domain, specifically in (cross-modal) scenariosChurch, R. M., Meck, W. H., & Gibbon, J. (1994). Application of scalar involving multiple interacting sensory systems. Thus, we de- timing theory to individual trialsJournal of Experimental Psychology: signed a paradigm combining a task-irrelevant temporal se- Animal Behavior Processes, 20,35-155. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ quence of auditory events with task-relevant Ternus apparent 0097-7403.20.2.135

motion-a phenomenon where we see two aligned dots either international and the second and the se (2012). Optimal encoding of interval timing in expert percussion Tistue. "jumping" across the other (apparently stationary) dot. What we JNEUROSCI.3411-11.2012 Journal of Neuroscience, 32,056-1060.http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/

see (group vs. element motion) is critically influenced by the Cohen, M. A., Dennett, D. C., & Kanwisher, N. (2016). What is the temporal interval between the two Ternus display frames. What bandwidth of perceptual experiencellends in Cognitive Sciences, 20, we found is that the irrelevant auditory sequence preceding the 324-335.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.03.006

visual Ternus display alters the visual interval, thus biasingCowan, N. (2001). Metatheory of storage capacity limBeshavioral and observers to see either more group motion or more element Brain Sciences, 24,154-176. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X motion, depending on the GM of the preceding auditory inter- 0161392X

vals. This interaction depends on the discrepancy between the ehaene, S. (2003). The neural basis of the Weber-Fechner law: A logarithmic mental number lineTrends in Cognitive Sciences, 1745-147. (mean) auditory and the visual interval: if the discrepancy rithmic mental number lineTrends in Cognitive Sciences, 145–147. becomes too large, no interaction occurs. Conceptually, the Distinct intuitions of the number scale in Western and Amazonian Distinct intuitions of the number scale in Western and Amazonian finding of temporal averaging over a sequence of auditory indigene culturesScience, 3201217-1220.http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ intervals and its subsequent influence on the visual interval science.1156540

makes a connection to the psychophysically well-established Ernst, M. O., & Banks, M. S. (2002). Humans integrate visual and haptic central-tendency effect, in which the prior sampled distribu- information in a statistically optimal fashionNature, 415,429-433. tion-here: of the auditory intervals-assimilates the esti- http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/415429a

- Ernst, M., & Di Luca, M. (2011). Multisensory perception: From integration to remapping. In J. Trommershäuser (E8ensory cue integration (pp. 225-250). New York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Gebhard, J. W., & Mowbray, G. H. (1959). On discriminating the rate of visual flicker and auditory flutterThe American Journal of Psychology, 72, 521-529.http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1419493
- Gibbon, J. (1977). Scalar expectancy theory and Weber's law in animaNakajima, Y., ten Hoopen, G., Hilkhuysen, G., & Sasaki, T. (1992). timing. Psychological Review, 84279-325.http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/ 0033-295X.84.3.279
- Guttman, S. E., Gilroy, L. A., & Blake, R. (2005). Hearing what the eyes see: Auditory encoding of visual temporal sequendessychological Science, 16,228-235. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2005 .00808.x
- Hanson, J. V., Heron, J., & Whitaker, D. (2008). Recalibration of perceived time across sensory modalitie Experimental Brain Research, 185, 347-352.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-008-1282-3
- Hardy, N. F., & Buonomano, D. V. (2016). Neurocomputational models of interval and pattern timingCurrent Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 8, 250-257.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.01.012
- (2012). Audiovisual time perception is spatially specifixperimental Brain Research, 218477-485.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-012-3038-3
- val timing. Nature Neuroscience, 13,020-1026.http://dx.doi.org/10 1038/nn.2590
- Jones, M. R., Moynihan, H., MacKenzie, N., & Puente, J. (2002). Temporal aspects of stimulus-driven attending in dynamic arrayschological Science, 13,313-319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280 .00458
- role of the primary auditory cortex in time estimation estimation and the primary auditory cortex in time estimation and the primary auditory auditory cortex in time estimation and the primary auditory Brain Research, 9,465-471. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-011-2577-3
- Kass, R. E., & Raftery, A. E. (1995). Bayes factodournal of the American Statistical Association, 90,73-795. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1080/01621459.1995.10476572
- Knox, G. W. (1945). Investigations of flicker and fusion. IV. The effects of auditory flicker on the pronouncedness of visual flicketournal of General Psychology, 33,45-154.http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00221309 .1945.10544501
- Körding, K. P., Beierholm, U., Ma, W. J., Quartz, S., Tenenbaum, J. B., & Shams, L. (2007). Causal inference in multisensory percepRooS ONE, 2,e943.http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000943
- Lakatos, P., Karmos, G., Mehta, A. D., Ulbert, I., & Schroeder, C. E. (2008). Entrainment of neuronal oscillations as a mechanism of atten Roach, N. W., Heron, J., & McGraw, P. V. (2006). Resolving multisensory tional selection.Science, 320,110-113.http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/ science.1154735
- Large, E. W., & Jones, M. R. (1999). The dynamics of attending: How people track time-varying event8sychological Review, 106,19-159. http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.106.1.119
- Linares, D., & López-Moliner, J. (2016). guickpsy: An R package to fit psychometric functions for multiple groupThe R Journal, 8122-131.
- Marois, R., & Ivanoff, J. (2005). Capacity limits of information processing in the brain.Trends in Cognitive Sciences,2996-305http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tics.2005.04.010
- McClelland, T., & Bayne, T. (2016). Ensemble coding and two conceptions of perceptual sparsit rends in Cognitive Sciences, 2041-642. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2016.06.008
- McDermott, J. H., & Simoncelli, E. P. (2011). Sound texture perception via statistics of the auditory periphery: Evidence from sound synthesis. Shi, Z., Chen, L., & Müller, H. J. (2010). Auditory temporal modulation of Neuron, 71,926-940.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.06.032
- Miller, J. E., Carlson, L. A., & McAuley, J. D. (2013). When what you hear influences when you see: Listening to an auditory rhythm influences the

temporal allocation of visual attentio Psychological Science, 24.1-18. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0956797612446707

- Myers, A. K., Cotton, B., & Hilp, H. A. (1981). Matching the rate of concurrent tone bursts and light flashes as a function of flash surround luminance.Perception & Psychophysics, 383-38.http://dx.doi.org/10 .3758/BF03206134
- Time-shrinking: A discontinuity in the perception of auditory temporal patterns.Perception & Psychophysics, 5504-507.http://dx.doi.org/ 10.3758/BF03211646
- Nakajima, Y., ten Hoopen, G., Sasaki, T., Yamamoto, K., Kadota, M., Simons, M., & Suetomi, D. (2004). Time-shrinking: The process of unilateral temporal assimilatiorPerception, 33,1061-1079.http://dx .doi.org/10.1068/p5061
- Nieder, A., & Miller, E. K. (2003). Coding of cognitive magnitude: Compressed scaling of numerical information in the primate prefrontal cortex. Neuron, 37, 149-157. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02)01144-3
- Heron, J., Roach, N. W., Hanson, J. V., McGraw, P. V., & Whitaker, D. Nieder, A., & Miller, E. K. (2004). A parieto-frontal network for visual numerical information in the monkeyProceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 74557,-7462. http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402239101
- Jazayeri, M., & Shadlen, M. N. (2010). Temporal context calibrates inter-Occelli, V., Bruns, P., Zampini, M., & Röder, B. (2012). Audiotactile integration is reduced in congenital blindness in a spatial ventriloguism task. Neuropsychologia, 50,36-43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j .neuropsychologia.2011.10.019
 - Parise, C. V., & Ernst, M. O. (2016). Correlation detection as a general mechanism for multisensory integratioNature Communications, 7, 11543.http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11543
- Kanai, R., Lloyd, H., Bueti, D., & Walsh, V. (2011). Modality-independent Parise, C. V., Spence, C., & Ernst, M. O. (2012). When correlation implies causation in multisensory integratio Current Biology, 22,46-49. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.11.039
 - Petzschner, F. H., Glasauer, S., & Stephan, K. E. (2015). A Bayesian perspective on magnitude estimationends in Cognitive Sciences, 19, 285-293.http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2015.03.002
 - Recanzone, G. H. (2003). Auditory influences on visual temporal rate perception.Journal of Neurophysiology, 89,078-1093.http://dx.doi .org/10.1152/jn.00706.2002
 - Recanzone, G. H. (2009). Interactions of auditory and visual stimuli in space and timeHearing Research, 25889-99. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.heares.2009.04.009
 - Rips, L. J. (2013). How many is a zillion? Sources of number distortion. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition, 39, 1257-1264 http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0031143
 - conflict: A strategy for balancing the costs and benefits of audio-visual integration.Proceedings Biological Sciences, 223,59-2168http://dx .doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3578
 - Roach, N. W., McGraw, P. V., Whitaker, D. J., & Heron, J. (2017). Generalization of prior information for rapid Bayesian time estimation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 114,412-417.http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1610706114
 - Ronconi, L., & Melcher, D. (2017). The role of oscillatory phase in determining the temporal organization of perception: Evidence from sensory entrainmenT.he Journal of Neuroscience, 370636-10644.
 - Shi, Z., & Burr, D. (2016). Predictive coding of multisensory timing. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 200-206.http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cobeha.2016.02.014
 - the visual Ternus effect: The influence of time intervakperimental Brain Research, 203723-735.http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00221-010-2286-3

CHEN, ZHOU, MÜLLER, AND SHI

- Shi, Z., Church, R. M., & Meck, W. H. (2013). Bayesian optimization of Welch, R. B., DutionHurt, L. D., & Warren, D. H. (1986). Contributions of time perception. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 1556-564. http://dx .doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2013.09.009
- Shipley, T. (1964). Auditory flutter-driving of visual flickeScience, 145, 1328-1330http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.145.3638.1328
- Slutsky, D. A., & Recanzone, G. H. (2001). Temporal and spatial dependency of the ventriloquism effecNeuroReport: For Rapid Communication of Neuroscience Research, 172,10. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ 00001756-200101220-00009
- Walsh, V. (2003). A theory of magnitude: Common cortical metrics of time, space and quantity Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 483-488. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2003.09.002
- audition and vision to temporal rate perception & Psvchophysics, 39294-300.http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/BF03204939

Wichmann, F. A., & Hill, N. J. (2001). The psychometric function: I. Fitting, sampling, and goodness of merception & Psychophysics, 63, 1293-1313http://dx.doi.org/10.3758/BF03194544

> Received August 30, 2017 Revision received May 16, 2018 Accepted June 29, 201

Members of Underrepresented Groups: Reviewers for Journal Manuscripts Wanted

If you are interested in reviewing manuscripts for APA journals, the APA Publications and Communications Board would like to invite your participation. Manuscript reviewers are vital to the publications process. As a reviewer, you would gain valuable experience in publishing. The P&C Board is particularly interested in encouraging members of underrepresented groups to participate more in this process.

If you are interested in reviewing manuscripts, please write APA Journals at Reviewers@apa.brg. Please note the following important points:

- To be selected as a reviewer, you must have published articles in peer-reviewed journals. The experience of publishing provides a reviewer with the basis for preparing a thorough, objective review.
- To be selected, it is critical to be a regular reader of the five to six empirical journals that are most central to the area or journal for which you would like to review. Current knowledge of recently published research provides a reviewer with the knowledge base to evaluate a new submission within the context of existing research.
- To select the appropriate reviewers for each manuscript, the editor needs detailed information. Please include with your letter your vita. In the letter, please identify which APA journal(s) you are interested in, and describe your area of expertise. Be as specific as possible. For example, "social psychology" is not sufficient—you would need to specify "social cognition" or "attitude change" as well.
- Reviewing a manuscript takes time (1–4 hours per manuscript reviewed). If you are selected to review a manuscript, be prepared to invest the necessary time to evaluate the manuscript thoroughly.

APA now has an online video course that provides guidance in reviewing manuscripts. To learn more about the course and to access the video, visit http://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/resources/ review-manuscript-ce-video.aspx.